Update on 3x100 MCP-PMTs

ERLANGEN CENTRE FOR ASTROPARTICLE PHYSICS

Katja Gumbert, M. Böhm, S. Krauss, A. Lehmann, D. Miehling

Panda Collaboration Meeting - June 15, 2021



NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT



Last time

Properties of sensors:

- 943P541
- 946P541
- O37P541

QE problem with ES440 (possibly with 943P541 and 946P541)



Backplane of 943P541

Photonis sensors 3x100 anode pixels MCPs 10 µm pores

Strange feature of O37P541

Now

- Further measurements of sensors
- First measurements of new sensor 105P541



QE problem

Reminder:

- Photonis ES440 shows uniform QE drop without illumination (still in Gießen)
- Same issue with 943P641 and 946P541?



Newest scans of 943P541 and 946P541 \rightarrow No problem with QE so far





Time resolution at 10⁶ gain





Time resolution at different gains



946P541					
Voltage in V	Gain	RMS in ps	σ in ps		
2550	2.87 · 10 ⁵	97.9	32.8		
2600	4.47 · 10 ⁵	94.2	30.5		
2650	5.96 · 10 ⁵	94.2	29.1		
2850	$1.67 \cdot 10^{6}$	87.2	29.4		
3000	$3.07 \cdot 10^{6}$	84.6	29.6		

- Time window for RMS: -0.5 2.0 ns
- Time resolution slightly better at higher voltages
- Higher overall voltage \rightarrow higher PC-MCP voltage

PANDA Collab. Meeting - June 15, 2021 - Katja Gumbert



TRB Scans TTS



O37P541

32.4

PANDA Collab. Meeting - June 15, 2021 - Katja Gumbert

90 100

x-pixel

0.5

Scope measurement



Scope measurement



TRB Scans RMS

946P541



Tube	Average RMS per pixel in ps	RMS in ps at single point (Oscilloscope)
943P541	115	100
946P541	122	94.2
O37P541	207	130

PANDA Collab. Meeting - June 15, 2021 - Katja Gumbert



afterpulse shifted time whole sensor for (py 0, px 0) channel 0

TRB Scans Afterpulse



946P541 afterpulse probability pixel map





Tube	Average afterpulse probability per pixel
943P541	0.09%
946P541	0.09%



x-position vs y-position (all hits) for (py 1, px 42) channel 12 x-position vs y-position (all hits) for (py 1, px 42) channel 12

channel 12, px 42, py 1 channel 12, px 42, py 1 Raw Raw 200 mT 0 mT Trigger (99.5 - 100.6) Trigger (99.5 - 100.6) Recoil e (100.6 - 101.5) Recoil e (100.6 - 101.5) 10³ 10 Darkcounts (-10000 - 0 Darkcounts (-10000 - 0 10 10 15 x-position [mm] x-position [mm] x-position vs y-position (all hits) for (py 1, px 42) channel 12 x-position vs y-position (all hits) for (py 1, px 42) channel 12 channel 12, px 42, py 1 channel 12, px 42, py 1 10 100 mT Raw 1000 mT Raw Trigger (99.5 - 100.6) Trigger (99.5 - 100.6) Recoil e (100.6 - 101.5) Recoil e (100.6 - 101.5) 10 Darkcounts (-10000 - 0 Darkcounts (-10000 - 0 10² 10 15 20 x-position [mm] x-position [mm]

- Gain drops in magnetic field → less events
- Less recoil, more focused recoil in magnetic field

PANDA Collab. Meeting - June 15, 2021 - Katja Gumbert

943P541



New Sensor Photonis 105P541

Gain curve



• Measured with scope at central region

Tube	10 ⁶ gain at
943P541	2550 V
946P541	2650 V
O37P541	1975 V
105P541	2175 V





PANDA Collab. Meeting - June 15, 2021 - Katja Gumbert



Strange feature of O37P541 and 105P541



Reminder:

O37P541

High voltage or illumination \rightarrow high anode currents (~200 μ A)

- $\rightarrow\,$ Relative gain seems to increase in current mode
- \rightarrow Actually gain loss (spectra)

105P541 shows same issue already at ~2175 V - 2200 V (max. voltage: ~2350 V)



Summary

- No QE problem with 943P541 and 946P541
- Good time resolution measurements \rightarrow small dependence on gain
- TRB scans: TTS and RMS higher than on single point
- New Sensor 105P541
 - Good QE
 - Problem with anode currents (like O37P541)

Tube	10 ⁶ gain at	Peak QE	Max QE (@372 nm)	RMS in ps	σ in ps	RMS in ps (TRB)	σ in ps (TRB)
943P541	2550 V	28.2% (@420 nm)	21%	100	44.3	115	52
946P541	2650 V	27.6% (@438 nm)	17%	94.2	29.1	122	56
O37P541	1975 V	27.9% (@426 nm)	18.5%	130	32.4	207	62
105P541	2175 V	27.1 % (@422 nm)	21.8%	137	43		