

Status Update on Z(4430)

PANDA PWG Meeting, March 3rd, 2021

by
Umut Keskin[1]
on behalf of PANDA-TR Group
[1]Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University

Introduction

- The situation formed around the theoretical interpretation of the $Z_c(3900)$ resonance does not differ considerably from activities intending to explain features of Z(4430). There are attemps to treat it as the tightly bound diquark-antidiquark state, as the four-quark bund state composed of conventional mesons.

- The interesting idea was suggested to consider the $Z_c(3900)$ and Z(4430) resonances as the ground and first radially excited states of the same diquark-antidiquark multiplet. The main decay channels of these resonances:

$$Z_c^{\pm}(3900) \to J/\psi\, \pi^{\pm}\,,\ Z^{\pm}(4430) \to \psi' \pi^{\pm}$$

- By looking at those main decay channels, and also by observation that the mass difference between 1S and 2S states $m_{\psi'} - m_{J/\psi}$ is approximately equal to the mass splitting $m_Z - m_{Z_c}$.

- The charmonium-like resonance $Z_c(3900)$ and its excited state Z(4430) are among the particles that are serious candidates for double heavy tetraquarks [1].

Introduction

- For a first time in 2008, the Belle Collaboration reported a distinct peak in the $\pi^{\pm}\psi'$ invariant mass distribution in $B \to K\pi^{\pm}\psi'$ decay. The measured mass and width were $M = 4433 \pm 4(\text{stat})2(\text{syst})$ MeV and $\Gamma = 45^{+18}_{-13}(\text{stat})^{30}_{-13}(\text{syst})$ MeV [2].

- The Belle Collaboration then, during the observation of a new charmonium like state $Z_c^+(4200)$, in addition, they found evidence for $Z^+(4430) \to J/\psi\,\pi^+$ [2, 3].

- In the first independent confirmation by LHCb Collaboration, its spin-parity was assigned as 1^+. The mass of the resonance, $4475 \pm 7^{+15}_{-25}$ MeV, and its width, $172 \pm 13^{+37}_{-34}$ MeV, were measured [2, 4].

- According to PDG, mass and width of $Z(4430)$ is;

$c\,\bar{c}$ MESONS
(including possibly non- $q\,\bar{q}$ states)

INSPIRE search

$Z_c(4430)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$ *G, C* need confirmation.
was $X(4430)^{\pm}$

Properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ structure (exotic state). See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states. First seen by CHOI 2008 in $B \to K\pi^+\psi(2S)$ decays, confirmed by AAIJ 2014AG, and confirmed in a model-independent way by AAIJ 2015BH. Also seen by CHILIKIN 2014 in $B \to K^+\pi J/\psi$ decays. J^P was determined by CHILIKIN 2013 and AAIJ 2014AG.

$Z_c(4430)$ MASS	4478^{+15}_{-18} MeV
$Z_c(4430)$ WIDTH	181 ± 31 MeV

Decay Modes

Mode		*Fraction (Γ_i / Γ)*	*Scale Factor/ Conf. Level*	*P (MeV/c)*
Γ_1	$\pi^+\psi(2S)$	seen		711
Γ_2	π^+J/ψ	seen		1162

Monte Carlo Simulation



- Two intermediate resonances ($Z(4430)^-$ and $\psi(2S)$),
- Four final state particles : $\pi^+, \pi^-, e^+ and\ e^-$ (or μ^+ and μ^-).

Event Generation

- Following the decay chain given in the previous slide, we defined a decay file by selecting decaying particles/resonances from evt.pdl file inside of PandaROOT software.

- PHSP and VLL models and noPhotos were used from EvtGen.

- That file ''pp_ZC4430_ee_nophot.dec'' will specify the signal decay channel.

- From this decay channel as we can see in the decay file, in the next slides there are results for e+ e- as final state particles.

pp_Zc4430Minus_ee_nophot.dec

pp_Zc4430Minus_ee_nophot.dec 〉 No Selection

```
noPhotos

Decay pbarpSystem1
  1.0    Z_c(4430)- pi+  PHSP;
Enddecay

Decay Z_c(4430)-
  1.0    psi(2S) pi-  PHSP;
Enddecay

Decay psi(2S)
  1.0    e+ e-  VLL;
Enddecay

End
```

Event Generation

- Shell script for producing events for Z(4430).
- We can produce signal or background events by only changing ''prefix''.
- We are producing events as 10k packets up to 1M (for now).
- In the next slides, there are results for Z(4430) analysis with 30k events produced.

z4430_runall_signal.sh

z4430_runall_signal.sh 〉 No Selection

```
#!/bin/bash
. ../../../build/config.sh
nev=10000
prefix="signal" # signal | bkg

if [[  "$prefix" == "signal"  ]];  then
prefix="signal_pp_Zc4430Minus_ee_nophot_1_"$nev
input="decfiles/pp_Zc4430Minus_ee_nophot.dec"
elif [[  "$prefix" == "bkg"  ]]; then
prefix="dpm_pp_Zc4430Minus_ee_nophot_1_"$nev
input="decfiles/dpm_Z4430Minus_ee"
fi

pbeam=15.0
geantVersion="TGeant4"

if test "$1" != ""; then
  nev=$1
fi

if test "$2" != ""; then
  prefix=$2
fi

if test "$3" != ""; then
  input=$3
fi

if test "$4" != ""; then
  pbeam=$4
fi

if test "$5" != ""; then
  geantVersion=$5
fi

root -l -b -q  tut_sim.C\($nev,\"$prefix\",\"$input\",$pbeam\,\"$geantVersion\"\)
root -l -b -q  tut_aod.C\($nev,\"$prefix\"\)
```

Reconstruction Algorithm & Strategy

- For particle reconstruction, we used an algorithm to select particles like in this scheme. After each cut/selection algorithm, reconstructed mass was remaked.
- At first, no cuts and nothing applied, just all reconstructed mass for that particle.
- Secondly, we applied McTruthMatch algorithm to select particles.
- After that, McTruthMatch filtered candidates were gathered and Vertex Fit (p>0.01) applied to them.
- Then, after these two filters, candidates were also applied Mass Constraint Fit which is also p>0.01.
- Lastly, after all that selection algorithm filters, last survived particle candidates were also applied a Rough Mass cut about $\pm$0.25 GeV with ''RhoMassParticleSelector''.



Analysis : ψ(2S) Mass Reco.













ψ(2S) Mass : 3686.10±0.06 MeV (PDG)

Reconstruction Algorithm & Strategy: $\psi(2S)$





Analysis : $Z(4430)$ Mass Reco.













$Z(4430)$ Mass : $4478\ ^{+15}_{-18}$ MeV (PDG)

Reconstruction Algorithm & Strategy: Z(4430)





Reconstruction Algorithm & Strategy

- For particle reconstruction, we changed algorithm to select particles like in this scheme. After each cut/selection algorithm, reconstructed mass was remaked.
- At first, no cuts and nothing applied, just all reconstructed mass for that particle.
- Secondly, we applied a Rough Mass Cut about $\pm$ 0.25 GeV with ''RhoMassParticleSelector''.
- After that, McTruthMatch algorithm to select particles were applied.
- Then, McTruthMatch filtered candidates were gathered and Vertex Fit (p>0.01) applied to them.
- Lastly, after all that selection algorithm filters, last survived particle candidates were also applied a Mass Constraint Fit which is also p>0.01.



Analysis : ψ(2S) Mass Reco.













ψ(2S) Mass : 3686.10±0.06 MeV (PDG)

Reconstruction Algorithm & Strategy: $\psi(2S)$





Analysis : ψ(2S) Mass Reco. (Compare)





ψ(2S) Mass : 3686.10$\pm$0.06 MeV (PDG)

Analysis : $Z(4430)$ Mass Reco.













$Z(4430)$ Mass : $4478\ ^{+15}_{-18}$ MeV (PDG)

Reconstruction Algorithm & Strategy: $Z(4430)$





Analysis : $Z(4430)$ Mass Reco. (Compare)





$Z(4430)$ Mass : $4478\ ^{+15}_{-18}$ MeV (PDG)

Analysis : $Z_{cs}(3985)$

- We tried to implement newly proposed $Z_{cs}(3985)$ to the “evt.pdl” file in PandaROOT (EvtGen).

```
456  * [xyz cc-like]  name                    id        mass/GeV      width/GeV      max_Dm/GeV   3*charge 2*spin lifetime*c/mm  PythiaId

480  add  p Particle  Z_cs(3985)-            -59  3.9825000e+00  1.2800000e-02  1.2800000e-01    -3      2  0.0000000e+00        -59
```

- By changing and adding $Z_{cs}(3985)$ to the EvtGen we will be able to produce some example data with this decay file:



pp_Zcs3985Minus_mumu_nophot.dec

pp_Zcs3985Minus_mumu_nophot.dec 〉 No Selection

```
noPhotos

Decay pbarpSystem1
  1.0    Z_cs(3985)- K+ PHSP;
Enddecay

Decay Z_cs(3985)-
  1.0    J/psi K-    PHSP;
Enddecay

Decay J/psi
  1.0    mu+ mu-    VLL;
Enddecay

End
```

Analysis : $Z_{cs}(3985)$





Data Productions Ongoing

- Produced 1M events from each decay mode on our Linux Server PC

$Z_c(3900)^-$



$Z_c(3900)^+$



Data Productions Ongoing

- Produced 1M events from each decay channel on our Linux Server PC

Z(4430)$^-$





Z(4430)$^+$





Data Productions Ongoing

- Produced 1M events from each decay channel on our Linux Server PC

$Z_{cs}(3985)^-$





Data Productions Ongoing

- **Producing DPM Background data at beam momentum (pbeam) = 8.5454 GeV/c for $Z_c(3900)$.**

- **Producing DPM Background data at maximum pbeam 15.0 GeV/c for Z(4430) and $Z_{cs}(3985)$.**

- **We already produced at least 1M events for each particle and decay mode as well as we also aiming to produce at least 10M events for DPM background soon.**

Discussion & Outlook

- For this Z(4430) analysis study, we are aiming to find particle widths accurately by fitting the reconstructed candidates via Breit-Wigner fit function.

- At this moment, after some problems, now we are producing some big data on our Dell Server PC. 1M events for $Z_c(3900)^+$, $Z_c(3900)^-$, $Z(4430)^+$, $Z(4430)^-$ and $Z_{cs}(3985)^-$ have already been produced.

- We are also targeting to produce at least 10M DPM events for initial analysis study. Producing ongoing.

- After producing some big data, signal-to-background ratio and reconstruction efficiency studies will be done with further analysis.

References

[1] Agaev S., Azizi K., Sundu H., (2017). ''Treating Zc(3900) and Z(4430) as the ground-state and first radially excited tetraquarks'', Phys. Rev. D **96**, 034026.

[2] Azizi K., Er N., (2020). ''Modifications on parameters of Z(4430) in a dense medium''', Physics Letters B, Volume 811, 135979, ISSN 0370-2693, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135979.

[3] Chilikin K. *et al.*, Belle Collaboration, (2014). ''Observation of a new charged charmoniumlike state in $\bar{B}^0 \to J/\psi\, K^- \pi^+$ decays'' Phys. Rev. D, 90 (11), Article 112009.

[4] Aaij R., *et al.*, LHCb Collaboration, (2014). ''Observation of the resonant character of the $\bar{B}^0 \to J/\psi\, K^- \pi^+$ state'', Phys. Rev. Lett., 112 (22), Article 222002.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!