

$X_0(2900)$ in the molecular picture

Qian Wang South China Normal University In memory of Prof. Misha B. Voloshin

Experimental and theoretical status of and perspectives for XYZ states April 12th-15th, 2021

Hu, Lao, Ling, QW, CPC45(2021)021003

- 1. The observation of the $X_0(2900)$
- 2. Heavy quark spin structure
- 3. $X_0(2900)$ as a \bar{D}^*K^* bound state
- 4. $X_0(2900)$ as a \overline{D}^*K^* virtual state
- 5. Summary and Outlook

- 1. The observation of the $X_0(2900)$
- 2. Heavy quark spin structure
- 3. $X_0(2900)$ as a \bar{D}^*K^* bound state
- 4. $X_0(2900)$ as a \overline{D}^*K^* virtual state
- 5. Summary and Outlook



Model-independent analysis:

One or more new charm-strange resonances LHCb, PRL125(2020)242001

Amplitude analysis: 140 Candidates / (17.3 MeV/ c^2) $(17.3 \text{ MeV}/c^2)$ 80 E LHCb LHCb 120 70 (a)(b)100 60 80 50 Candidates / 40 60 30 40 20 E 20 10 E 0 0 2.5 4.5 3 3.5 $m(D^{-}K^{+})$ [GeV/ c^2] $m(D^+D^-)$ [GeV/ c^2] ψ (3770) \rightarrow **D**⁺ **D**⁻ Candidates / (17.3 MeV/ c^2) LHCb 50 | $\chi_{c0}(3930) \rightarrow D^+ D^ \chi_{c2}(3930) \rightarrow D^+ D^ \psi(4040) \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ (c)40 30 20 ψ (4160) $\rightarrow D^+ D^-$ 10 ψ (4415) $\rightarrow D^+ D^-$ 0 Nonresonant 2.5 3.5 $m(D^{+}K^{+})$ [GeV/ c^{2}]

LHCb, PRD102(2020)112003

The observation of the $X_0(2900)$

Amplitude analysis:



Amplitude analysis:



Amplitude analysis:



- The first four different flavor exotics $X_{0/1}(2900)[\bar{c}d\bar{s}u]$
- X(3872) [$c\bar{c}q\bar{q}$]

 $D*\overline{D} + c.c.$ molecule or compact tetra quark mix with the normal $c\overline{c}$ charmonium Li, et al., PRD79(2009)094004, Coito, et al., EPJC71(2011)1762, Coito, et al., EPJC73(2013)2351

• $D_{s0}(2317)$ [$c\bar{s}q\bar{q}$]

mix with the normal *cs̄* meson Beveren et al., PRL91(2003)012003, EPJC32(2004)499, Coito et al., PRD84(2011)094020, Hwang et al., PLB601(2004)137, Simonov et al., PRD70(2004)114013, Lee et al, EPJC49(2007)737, Zhou et al., PRD84(2011)034023 Meng et al., PRD75(2007)114002, Kalashnikova, PRD72(2005)034010, Zhang et al., PLB680(2009)453, Danilkin et al., PRL105(2020)102002,

$$M_{X_0(2900)} = 2866 \pm 7 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M_{X_1(2900)} = 2904 \pm 7 \text{ MeV}$$

• Molecular picture

He et al., hep-ph: 2008.07782, Molina et al., PLB811(2020)135870, Agaev hep-ph:2008.13027, Dong et al., hep-ph:2009.11619, Xiao et al., PRD103(2021)034004, Chen hep-ph:2103.08586

• Tetraquark picture

Wang, Int.J.MPA35(2020)2050187, Qin et al., 2008.08026, Xue et al., PRD103(2021)054010, Yang et al., Sym. 12(2020)1869, Wang et al., EPJC81(2021)188, Yang et al., 2101.04933, Albuquerque et al., NPA1007(2021)122113, Chen et al., EPJC81(2021)71, Agaev et al., hep-ph: 2103.06151

• Kinematic effect

Burns et al., PLB813(2021)136057, Liu et al., EPJC80(2020)1178

• Other effects

Bondar et al., JHEP12(2020)015

- 1. The observation of the $X_0(2900)$
- 2. Heavy quark spin structure
- 3. $X_0(2900)$ as a \bar{D}^*K^* bound state
- 4. $X_0(2900)$ as a \overline{D}^*K^* virtual state
- 5. Summary and Outlook

Heavy quark spin structure

- Motivation: close to \overline{D}^*K^* threshold
- Assumption: $X_0(2900)$ as a $I(J^P) = O(0^+) \overline{D}^*K^*$ molecule
- Goals: its physical impact
- Employ heavy quark spin structure
- Proposed by M. B. Voloshin for the two Z_b case

Bondar et al.,PRD84(2011)054010

• Successfully apply to double heavy exotics

Hanhart et al., PRL115(2015)202001, Guo et al., PRD93(2016)074031, Voloshin PRD84(2011)031502, Baru et al., JHEP06(2017)158, Wang et al., 98(2018) 074023, Baru et al., PRD99(2019)094013,...

• Work better for single heavy system

Yasui et al., PLB727(2013)185

Heavy quark spin structure

Heavy quark spin structure

$$|(\bar{c}_{j_1}q_{j_2})_{j_{12}}(\bar{s}q')_{j_3}\rangle_J = \sum_{s_l} (-1)^{j_2+j_3+j_{12}+s_l} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\ J & j_3 & s_l \end{array} \right\} \hat{j_{12}}\hat{s_l} |\bar{c}_{j_1}(q_{j_2}(\bar{s}q')_{j_3})_{s_l}\rangle$$

 j_1 spin of anticharm quark j_3 spin of kaon s_l light d.o.f. j_2 spin of light quarkJ angular momentum of the system

$$\bar{D}^{(*)}K \quad \text{system} \quad |\bar{D}K\rangle_{0^+} = |\frac{1}{2}\rangle \qquad |\bar{D}^*K\rangle_{1^+} = |\frac{1}{2}\rangle$$
$$\bar{D}^{(*)}K^* \quad \text{system} \quad |\bar{D}^*K^*\rangle_{0^+} = -|\frac{1}{2}\rangle^* \quad |\bar{D}^*K^*\rangle_{2^+} = |\frac{3}{2}\rangle^*$$

$$|\bar{D}^*K^*\rangle_{1^+} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\frac{1}{2}\rangle^* - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |\frac{3}{2}\rangle^* \qquad |\bar{D}K^*\rangle_{1^+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |\frac{1}{2}\rangle^* + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\frac{3}{2}\rangle^*$$

₁₃Hu, Lao, Ling, QW, CPC45(2021)021003

Heavy quark spin structure

- Contact potentials
- Define leading order contact potential $C_{2l}^{(*)} \equiv^{(*)} \langle l | \hat{H}_{HQS} | l \rangle^{(*)}$

 $\bar{D}^{(*)}K \text{ system} \qquad V_{0^+} = C_1 \qquad V_{1^+} = C_1$ $\bar{D}^{(*)}K^* \text{ system} \qquad V_{0^+}^* = C_1^* \qquad V_{2^+}^* = C_3^*$ $V_{1^+}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}C_1^* + \frac{2}{3}C_3^* & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(C_1^* - C_3^*) \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(C_1^* - C_3^*) & \frac{2}{3}C_1^* + \frac{1}{3}C_3^* \end{pmatrix}$

 C_1^* fixed by the mass position of the $X_0(2900)$

 C_3^* within a reasonable energy region

₁₄Hu, Lao, Ling, QW, CPC45(2021)021003

- 1. The observation of the $X_0(2900)$
- 2. Heavy quark spin structure
- 3. $X_0(2900)$ as a \bar{D}^*K^* bound state
- 4. $X_0(2900)$ as a \overline{D}^*K^* virtual state
- 5. Summary and Outlook



Lippmann-Schwinger Equation



- Algebraic equation T = V + VGT for contact potentials
- Hard cutoff for two-body propagator

$$G_{\Lambda}(M, m_1, m_2) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{M - m_1 - m_2 - \vec{q}^2/(2\mu)} = \Lambda + i \frac{m_1 m_2}{2\pi(m_1 + m_2)} \sqrt{2\mu(M - m_1 - m_2)}$$

- G_{Λ}^{II} can be obtained by changing the sign of the second term
- Λ should be small to preserve HQSS G

Guo et al., PRD88(2013)054007

₁₆Hu, Lao, Ling, QW, CPC45(2021)021003

$X_0(2900)$ as a \overline{D}^*K^* bound state



• Two parameter sets: $\Lambda = 0.05 \text{ GeV}, C_1^* = 33.56 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and

 $\Lambda = 0.03 \text{ GeV}, C_1^* = 102.09 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

- One 1⁺ bound state below $\bar{D}K^*$ threshold, one 1⁺ resonance between $\bar{D}K^*$ and \bar{D}^*K^* thresholds
- One 2⁺ bound state below \overline{D}^*K^* threshold
- $m_{2^+} = 2.866 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{1^+} = 2.722 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{1^+} = 2.866 \text{ GeV}$ in light quark limit

- 1. The observation of the $X_0(2900)$
- 2. Heavy quark spin structure
- 3. $X_0(2900)$ as a \bar{D}^*K^* bound state
- 4. $X_0(2900)$ as a \overline{D}^*K^* virtual state
- 5. Summary and Outlook

<u> $X_0(2900)$ as a \overline{D}^*K^* virtual state</u>



- Virtual state: $1 C_1^* G_{\Lambda}^{II}(m_{X_0(2900)}, m_{\bar{D}^*}, m_{K^*}) = 0$
- Two parameter sets: $\Lambda = 0.05 \text{ GeV}, C_1^* = 14.24 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and

 $\Lambda = 0.03 \text{ GeV}, C_1^* = 19.92 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

• One 1⁺ bound state below $\overline{D}K^*$ threshold, one 2⁺ resonance between \overline{D}^*K^*

19Hu, Lao, Ling, QW, CPC45(2021)021003

- 1. The observation of the $X_0(2900)$
- 2. Heavy quark spin structure
- 3. $X_0(2900)$ as a \bar{D}^*K^* bound state
- 4. $X_0(2900)$ as a \overline{D}^*K^* virtual state
- 5. Summary and Outlook

Summary and Outlook

- The impact of the $X_0(2900)$ as a $I(J^P) = O(0^+) \overline{D}^* K^*$ hadronic molecule
- bound state: two 1⁺ and one 2⁺ states
- virtual state: one 1⁺ and one 2⁺ state
- Include the $(\bar{D}_1, \bar{D}_2)K$ threshold: $X_1(2900)$?

• Fit to all the existing relevant channels

 $B^+ \to D^{(*)+}D^{(*)-}K^{(*)+}, \bar{D}^{(*)0}D^{(*)0}K^{(*)+}$

Thanks for your attention!