

Recent Improvements to SPS spill quality

F. Velotti, M. Pari, M. Fraser, V. Kain M. Amodeo, M.Buzio, V. Di Capua, N. Madysa, O. Michels



- \rightarrow Introduction on spill quality in the SPS
- → Work towards improved spill macro structure
- → Investigation and analysis of spill frequency content
- → Operational implementation
- → Future studies and conclusions



- ➔ Introduction on spill quality in the SPS
- → Work towards improved spill macro structure
- → Investigation and analysis of spill frequency content
- → Operational implementation
- → Future studies and conclusions

Introduction



- → Spill quality in the SPS is fundamentally decomposable in two:
 - Spill macro structure variations (very low frequency)
 - Spill high frequency harmonic content







Introduction



- → Spill quality in the SPS is fundamentally decomposable in two:
 - Spill macro structure variations (very low frequency)
 - Spill high frequency harmonic content
- → The macro structure is dependent on the machine reproducibility and transverse and longitudinal beam parameters stability







Introduction



- → Spill quality in the SPS is fundamentally decomposable in two:
 - Spill macro structure variations (very low frequency)
 - Spill high frequency harmonic content
- → The macro structure is dependent on the machine reproducibility and transverse and longitudinal beam parameters stability
- → The HF harmonic content is a reflection of the noise from the main converters and any longitudinal modulation









- → Introduction on spill quality in the SPS
- → Work towards improved spill macro structure
- Investigation and analysis of spill frequency content
- Operational implementation
- → Future studies and conclusions

- CERN
- → Main contributor to spill macro structure non-reproducibility was identified to be the change of super cycles



- CERN
- → Main contributor to spill macro structure non-reproducibility was identified to be the change of super cycles





- → Main contributor to spill macro structure non-reproducibility was identified to be the change of super cycles
- → We lose about 5 to 10% spill duty factor (DF) if nothing is done
- ➔ From beam-based measurements (see next slides), a systematic behaviour was observed we can apply a systematic correction
- \rightarrow $\nu_x(t_{after}) = \nu_x(t_{before}) 0.005$
- → DF comes back to what was before the change!
- → This has show to be very effective in 2017/8





- → Dedicated measurements campaign before LS2
- → Investigation looking at orbit and tune after SC change:
 - Btrain not calibrated (no field marker), hence no info about remanent field at flat bottom
 - Radial loop kicks in at start ramp
- → Essentially no change in mean position (100 um = 2.3e-5 in ∆p/p this is in the order of the SPS stability) after flat bottom
- → Tune variation ($\Delta v = v_{\text{productio}} v_{\text{LHC fill}}$), instead, were systematically observed in every measurement and with the same amplitude (in both planes!)
- ➔ Interestingly, the variation observed in both planes had the same amplitude and the same sign too





- → Magnetic measurements on SPS spare quadrupole showed significant hysteresis - at least in terms of what can cause the spill degradation
- → We looked at the relative change of the integrated quadrupole strength: $Gdl Gdl_0 \Delta Gdl$

$$Gdl_0 \equiv Gdl_0$$

Doing this, we measured a difference of

for a super cycle change

 \rightarrow

$$\frac{\Delta Gdl}{Gdl_0} = -4.2 \times 10^{-4}$$





- → From these measurements, we can now estimate how much we expect the tune to change as a consequence of a SC change
- → Both contributions from QF/QD and MBI have to be considered: $k_0(\Delta k/k + 1) = 0.3g_0(\Delta g/g + 1)/p_0(\Delta p/p + 1) = k_0(\Delta g/g + 1)/(\Delta p/p + 1)$
- → this can be simply done analytically or with MADX:

$$-\Delta\nu_{x} = \frac{N}{4\pi} [\beta_{x,max} \Delta k_{F}/k_{F} + \beta_{x,min} \Delta k_{D}/k_{D}] = -6.6 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$-\Delta
u_y = -rac{N}{4\pi} [eta_{y,min} \Delta k_F / k_F + eta_{y,max} \Delta k_D / k_D] = -6.6 imes 10^{-3}$$

→ These numbers are very well in agreement! More details in []]





- \rightarrow As we know the source now, we are looking at how to use this
- → Difficult to implement a direct feedback from main quads
 - For the dipoles we have a spare magnet => b-train data
- → We are now investigating the possibility to build a synthetic q-train based on neural networks
- → Significant effort to explore this possibility but still not concrete solutions
 - Looked into NARX, simple MLP but results not completely convincing
- → The problem is highly non-linear and needs punctual accuracy in the order of 1e-4
 - Also needs to consider very long time sequences: super cycle in the order of 30-60 s for 1 ms sampling...



- Introduction on spill quality in the SPS
- Work towards improved spill macro structure
- → Investigation and analysis of spill frequency content
- Operational implementation
- → Future studies and conclusions



- → The other main contributor to spill quality are the high frequency harmonics
- → These harmonics closely depend on the machine size, RF and main active elements
- → For the SPS, the main frequencies of interest are:
 - 50 Hz and its harmonics (main source power supplies)
 - 43 kHz (SPS revolution)
 - 86 kHz (SPS batch structure)
 - 200 MHz (SPS RF system)

- The other main contributor to spill quality are the high frequency \rightarrow harmonics
- These harmonics closely depend on the machine size, RF and main \rightarrow active elements
- For the SPS, the main frequencies of interest are: \rightarrow
 - 50 Hz and its harmonics ٠ Coming this year 43 kHz (SPS revolution) ٠

- - We cannot measure these frequencies...yet!

- CERN
- → The other main contributor to spill quality are the high frequency harmonics
- → These harmonics closely depend on the machine size, RF and main active elements
- → For the SPS, the main frequencies of interest are:
 - 50 Hz and its harmonics (main source power supplies)
 - 43 kHz (SPS revolution)
 - 86 kHz (SPS batch structure)
 - 200 MHz (SPS RF system)

→ Large part of M. Pari thesis focused on the spill frequency analysis



- → The idea was to establish a model which could reproduce well the observed spill data <u>all detailed in Michelangelo's thesis and in submitted PRAB paper</u>
- → Our observables are:
 - Input current in the main quadrupoles (in fact only the focusing one...SE on H plane) measured with a DCCT
 - Sapling frequency 1 kHz
 - Secondary emission foil (BSI) to measure particles extracted in time
 - Sampling frequency 2 kHz
- ➔ Investigation on the effect of the shielding of vacuum chambers shown that in the bandwidth observable (500 Hz) we should not see any effect
 - Cut-off frequency more in the order of few kHz for the material of the SPS VC
- → Transfer function between current tune basically linear
- → Large 50 Hz noise on DCCT...difficult to benchmark model on these harmonics => need to look at the overall behaviour





- → MADX simulations used to develop simple Henon map based model
- → Non-linear model of SPS SE frequency response developed
- → <u>Great agreement</u> comparing with data for large ripple amplitude (above the DCCT noise)
 - Dedicated data taking, so very well controlled conditions









- → Now the challenge was to reproduce also the "low" amplitude ripples and the dynamic environment of daily operation
- → First comparison not so great





- → Now the challenge was to reproduce also the "low" amplitude ripples and the dynamic environment of daily operation
- → First comparison not so great
- → Then removing the known noise of the DCCT for the main harmonics





- → Now the challenge was to reproduce also the "low" amplitude ripples and the dynamic environment of daily operation
- → First comparison not so great
- → Then removing the known noise of the DCCT for the main harmonics
- → And finally removing the known transfer function between measurements and actual current on the mains



- → Then, using this model, systematic scans of the SE parameter space can be done to try optimise the spill
- → There are configurations that could be experimentally explored to reduce the 50 Hz and its harmonics
 - This of course has to be evaluated together with losses





- ➔ Introduction on spill quality in the SPS
- → Work towards improved spill macro structure
- ➔ Investigation and analysis of spill frequency content
- → Operational implementation
- → Future studies and conclusions



- → The SPS spill is optimised with a feed-forward based tool [2]
 - The reference momentum of the machine is adjusted to improve the spill
- → In addition to this, we are now planning to inject all these new results in daily operation
- → For the hysteresis control, we are looking at implementing in the control system a predictive model of magnetic field given previous history
 - Still need to show neural networks are up for this task...but loads of work ongoing
 - Infrastructure is almost there
- → For the high frequency model, the idea is to exploit it to guide the evaluation of active regulation of the quadrupole currents
 - Also to guide in SE parameter optimisation during commissioning



Future work and conclusions

- → Significant improvements in understanding of the SPS spill quality done in the recent years
- → We have a model of the full slow extraction in MADX which we can use to benchmark simpler and faster models with
 - Henon map based model developed to study spill high frequency content
 - This will be used as starting point to investigate longitudinal improvements (P. Arutia PhD)
- → Macro structure spill changes seem to be understood
 - Manual solution available
 - Work ongoing to develop NN driven synthetic q-train to act online
 - Exploration of deep NN to completely solve this problem
- Maps developed to model expected spill harmonics from input quadrupole current
 - ML can also be explored to build data driven spill quality model
 - Effect of many more machine parameters can be included
- → Upcoming SPS commissioning will answer open points on many of these studies...
- → ...can't wait for beam to be back!!



Thanks!!