The WASA-FRS project at FAIR Phase 0 and beyond

Take R. Saito

High Energy Nuclear Physics Laboratory, Cluster for Pioneering Research, RIKEN, Japan GSI Helmholtz Center for Heavy Ion Research, Germany School of Nuclear Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, China

Outline

- Very brief introduction of hypernuclear physics
- Motivations of the WASA-FRS project at FAIR Phase 0 (GSI)
 - Hypernuclear production with heavy ion beams
 - The HypHI Phase 0 experiments and two puzzles
- The WASA-FRS project at FAIR Phase 0
- Our perspective
 - Hypernuclear project at HIAF in China
 - Overall scanning of nuclear emulsion with machine learning

Quarks and sub-atomic nuclei







There are many identical quarks

Quarks and sub-atomic nuclei



Quarks

uds

uus

uds

dds

uss

dss

555

 $I(J^{P})$

 $O(1/2^{+})$

 $1(1/2^{+})$

 $1(1/2^{+})$

 $1(1/2^{+})$

1/2(1/2+)

1/2(1/2+)

0(3/2+)

Mass (MeV)

1115

1189

1193

1197

1315

1321

1672

Neutron stars and dense nuclear matter











Neutron star merger: August 17th, 2017

- GW170817 detected by LIGO and Virgo
- First multi-messenger observations of a binary neutron star merger
- Constraints in radius, ...
- <u>Awaiting the information of the</u> <u>baryonic interaction with strangeness</u>



Quarks and sub-atomic nuclei



Hyperon	Quarks	I(J ^P)	Mass (MeV)
Λ	uds	0(1/2+)	1115
Σ+	uus	1(1/2+)	1189
Σο	uds	1(1/2+)	1193
Σ-	dds	1(1/2+)	1197
Ξο	uss	1/2(1/2+)	1315
Ξ	dss	1/2(1/2+)	1321
Ω-	555	0(3/2+)	1672

hypernucleus hypernucleus Micro-laboratory to study baryonic-interactions

History of hypernuclear Experiments (only a major part)



Chart of ordinary nuclei



Chart of single-strangeness hypernuclei



Chart of double-strangeness hypernuclei



Chart of double-strangeness hypernuclei

Lighter hypernuclei: Data with emulsions and bubble chambers from 60-70's

Heavier hypernuclei: Counter experiment with meson and electron beams

neutron number

proton number

strangeness

Precise spectroscopy Structure in detail

Advantage

• Clean experiment

Difficulties

- Limited isospin
- Small momentum transfer to separate hypernuclei
- Difficulties on decay studies
- Only up to double-strangeness

Hypernuclear spectroscopy with heavy ion beams

Hypernuclear spectroscoy with Heavy Ion Beam

HypHI project, started in 2005

The way to produce hypernuclei with HypHI



The way to produce hypernuclei with HypHI

AL 24 AL 25 AL 25 AL 27 AL 25 AL Mg 23 Mg 24 Mg 25 Mg 24 Mg 7 Mg

0 10 20 20 210 220

10 N 17 N

15 C 16 C

He He

14 B



Hypernuclear production with Rare-Isotope beams



HypHI Phase 0 experiment (2006 – 2012)

 To demonstrate the feasibility of precise hypernuclear spectroscopy with ⁶Li primary beams at 2 A GeV on a carbon target



Results of HypHI Phase O

- Observations of ${}^{3}{}_{\Lambda}H$, ${}^{4}{}_{\Lambda}H$ and Λ -hyperon
 - Nucl. Phys. A 913 (2013) 170
- Short lifetime of ${}^{3}{}_{\Lambda} H$ and ${}^{4}{}_{\Lambda} H$
 - Nucl. Phys. A 913 (2013) 170
 - Phys. Lett. B 728 (2014) 543
- Indications of the nn Λ bound state
 - Phys. Rev. C 88 (2013) 041001-1-6(R)
- Production cross section of ${}^3_\Lambda$ H, ${}^4_\Lambda$ H and Λ -hyperon with 6 Li+ 12 C at 2 A GeV
 - Phys. Lett. B 747 (2014) 129
- Summary paper
 - Nucl. Phys. A 954 (2016) 199

Two puzzles from HypHI

Signals indicating $nn\Lambda$ bound state All theoretical calculations are negative

- E. Hiyama et al., Phys. Rev. C89 (2014) 061302(R)
- A. Gal et al., Phys. Lett. B736 (2014) 93
- H. Garcilazo et al., Phys. Rev. C89 (2014) 057001



C. Rappold et al., PRC 88 (2013) 041001





Hot topics in hypernuclear and few-body physics

New results on hypertriton

NATURE PHYSICS | VOL 16 | APRIL 2020 | 409-412 | www.nature.com/naturephysics

nature physics

LETTERS https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-0799-7

Check for update

Measurement of the mass difference and the binding energy of the hypertriton and antihypertriton

The STAR Collaboration*

The Λ binding energy, B_{Λ} , for ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H and ${}^{3}_{\overline{\Lambda}}\overline{H}$ is calculated using the mass measurement shown in equation (1). We obtain

 $B_{\Lambda} = 0.41 \pm 0.12 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11 (\text{syst.}) \text{ MeV}$

Former value by emulsion (data from 60's) 0.13 ± 0.05 MeV



Fig. 2] Particle identification and the invariant mass distributions for $\frac{1}{4}$ H and $\frac{3}{4}$ H reconstruction. a,b, (dE/dx) (mean energy loss per unit track length in the gas of the TPC) versus p/q (where p is the momentum and q is the electric charge in units of the elementary charge e) (a) and $1/\beta$ (where β is the speed of a particle in units of the speed of light) versus p/q (b). (dE/dx) is measured by the TPC and $1/\beta$ is measured by the TOF detector in conjunction with the TPC. In both cases, the coloured bands show the measured data for each species of charged particle, while the red curves show the expected values. Charged particles are identified by comparing the observed (dE/dx) and $1/\beta$ with the expected values, c.d. Utilizing both 2-body and 3-body decay channels, the invariant mass distributions of $\frac{1}{4}$ H (c) and $\frac{3}{4}$ H (d) are shown. The error bars represent statistical uncertainties (s.d.). The red curves represent all with a Gaussian function plus a linear background, using the unbinned maximum likelihood (ML) method.

average value of 0.13 ± 0.05 (stat.) MeV. When applied to our value of 0.41 ± 0.12 (stat.) MeV it yields a significantly smaller value of $7.90^{+1.71}_{-0.93}$ fm. The larger B_{Λ} and shorter effective scattering length suggest a stronger YN interaction between the Λ and the relatively low-density nuclear core of the $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H (ref. ³⁶). This, in certain models, requires SU(3) symmetry breaking and a more repulsive YN interaction at high density, consistent with implications from the range of masses observed for neutron stars⁵.

(3)

New theoretical calculation

Revisiting the hypertriton lifetime puzzle

A. Pérez-Obiol,¹ D. Gazda,² E. Friedman,³ and A. Gal³,^{*} ¹Laboratory of Physics, Kochi University of Technology, Kami, Kochi 782-8502, Japan ²Nuclear Physics Institute, 25068 Řež, Czech Republic ³Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel (Dated: July 9, 2020)

STAR, HypHI, ALICE: from 121 to 270 ps

Concluding remarks. Reported in this work is a new microscopic three-body calculation of the $^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ pionic twobody decay rate $\Gamma(^3_{\Lambda}\text{H} \rightarrow ^3\text{He} + \pi^-)$. Using the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ rule and a branching ratio taken from experiment to connect to additional pionic decay rates, the lifetime $\tau(^{3}_{\Lambda}H)$ was deduced. As emphasized here $\tau(^3_{\Lambda}H)$ varies strongly with the small, rather poorly known Λ separation energy $B_{\Lambda}(^{3}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H})$; it proves possible then to correlate each one of the three distinct RHI experimentally reported values $\tau_{\exp}(^{3}_{A}H)$ with a theoretical value $\tau_{th}(^{3}_{A}H)$ that corresponds to its own underlying $B_{\Lambda}(^{3}_{\Lambda}H)$ value. The $B_{\Lambda}(^{3}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H})$ intervals thereby correlated with these experiments are roughly $B_{\Lambda} \lesssim 0.1$ MeV, $0.1 \lesssim B_{\Lambda} \lesssim 0.2$ MeV and $B_{\Lambda} \gtrsim 0.2$ MeV for ALICE, HypHI and STAR, respectively. New experiments proposed at MAMI on Li target [39] and at JLab, J-PARC and ELPH on ³He target [40] will hopefully pin down precisely $B_{\Lambda}(^{3}_{\Lambda}H)$ to better than perhaps 50 keV, thereby leading to a unique resolution of the 'hypertriton lifetime puzzle'.

Urgent issues

Hypertriton

Lifetime (HypHI,STAR,ALICE): **121** ~ **270** ps Binding Energy: **130** ± **50** keV (Very old emulsion) **410** ± **120** ± **110** keV (STAR 2020)

 $nn\Lambda$ Does it exist?

Very precise measurements for hypertriton on

- Lifetime
- Binding energy

Confirmation of nn Λ with large statistics

And, much more information for double-strangeness hypernuclei

Our strategy for very urgent important issues

on Nuclear physics, Hadron physics and Astrophysics



The WASA-FRS experiment at GSI in Germany







Fig. 1. CAD view of the WASA detector facility. The zero-degree spectrometer is located further downstream to the right.



WASA-FRS collaboration with Super-FRS Experiment Collaboration

- hypernuclei
- η'-nucleus

Table 2: Summary of the channels of interest, magnetic rigidity setup of FRS, requested shifts for each setup and corresponding expected signal integrals after the event reconstructions.

Channel of interest	FRS rigidity [Tm]	Duration of beams on target	Estimated signal integral
$d + \pi^{-}$	16.675	24 shifts (8 days)	4.0×10^{3}
$^{3}_{\Lambda}\text{H}\rightarrow ^{3}\text{He}+\pi^{-}$	12.623	9 shifts (3 days)	1.5×10^3
${}^{4}_{\Lambda}\text{H} \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + \pi^{-}$	16.675	together with $d + \pi^-$	5.0×10^3

 $10 \sim 40$ times more

Already approved by the GSI PAC (highest priority) 2017 and 2020

- 6 days commissioning
- 9 days for hypernuclear physics run

At least 2 times better resolution



Figure 8: Expected invariant mass distributions of $d+\pi^-$ from ${}^3_{\Lambda}n$, $3He+\pi^-$ from ${}^3_{\Lambda}H$ and $4He+\pi^-$ from ${}^4_{\Lambda}H$, together with signals (red) and backgrounds (blue).

The WASA-FRS experiment at FAIR Phase O (GSI) WASA already at GSI since March 2019





- Commissioning of
 - Mini drift chamber: DONE
 - Superconducting magnet: already ay 4 K
- Upgrading of
 - Time-of-Flight Barrel: in progress, by end of 2020
- Development and construction of
 - Large Scintillating fiber detectors: mass production done, commissioning in progress
 - Mini fiber detector inside the iron yoke: in production
 - Electronics for fiber detectors: in progress, by end of 2020 ٠
 - New holding structures: in progress, by end 2020













Test experiment at FRS with proton beams: June 5th – 8th, 2020

DAQ trigger rate	✓ < I kHz
PID in FRS (S3-S4)	✓ Contamination<10 ⁻³
Single count rate in WASA	✓PSB count rate < 100 kHz
Radiation safety in FRS	√< I μSv/h
Overall feasibility	✓Fulfilled



Mini fiber detector





Updated Monte Carlo simulations



6.8 days measurement



target position: z=25 cm vertex z cut: 35 – 50 cm #layer(MDC): > 6 cldst cut: < 0.3 cm

Mass resolution:

- 2.6 MeV/c² (1 T field)
- 1.8 times better than HypHI

Statistics

- About 16000 in the peak for 4.5 days
- 100 times more than HypHI

Expected Lifetime accuracy

- 10 ps
- 4 times better than HypHI

To be performed in February – March, 2022

Further steps at FAIR in Germany







Precise spectroscopy with RI-beams

Our strategy for very urgent important issues

on Nuclear physics, Hadron physics and Astrophysics



Hypernuclear project at HIAF in China Towards double-strangeness hypernuclei: E > 3.75 A GeV



Hypernuclear project at HIAF in China HIAF (High Intensity heavy ion Accelerator Facility)

• To be operational in 2025

T.S. is leading the new hypernuclear project since 2016







New institute to be built in Huizhou

Hypernuclear project at HIAF in China





	Single-strangeness hypernuclei	Double-strangeness hypernuclei
Observation per week	6 X 10 ⁶	6 X 10 ²
Lifetime accuracy	~ 1 ps	~ 10 ps
Binding energy accuracy	~ 100 keV	Sub MeV

Hypernuclear scattering experiment feasible

 $d + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{3}_{\Xi} n \rightarrow n\Lambda\Lambda$ $t + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{4}_{\Xi} n \rightarrow nn\Lambda\Lambda$ ${}^{3}He + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{4}_{\Xi}H \rightarrow {}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}H$ ${}^{4}He + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{5}_{\Xi}H \rightarrow {}^{5}_{\Lambda\Lambda}H$ ${}^{6}Li + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{7}_{\Xi}He \rightarrow {}^{7}_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$ ${}^{6}Li + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{7}_{\Xi}He \rightarrow {}^{6}_{\Lambda\Lambda}He + n$ ${}^{7}Li + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{8}_{\Xi}He \rightarrow {}^{8}_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$ ${}^{9}Be + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{10}_{\Xi}Li \rightarrow {}^{10}_{\Lambda\Lambda}Li$ ${}^{10}Be + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{11}_{\Xi}Be \rightarrow {}^{11}_{\Lambda\Lambda}Be$ ${}^{11}B + \Xi^{-} \rightarrow {}^{12}_{\Xi}Be \rightarrow {}^{12}_{\Lambda\Lambda}Be$



Our strategy for very urgent important issues

on Nuclear physics, Hadron physics and Astrophysics



Analysis of J-PARC E07 data with Machine Learning at RIKEN

Conventional way to study double-strangeness hypernuclei

Hybrid methods (J-PARC E07 experiment)



Analysis of J-PARC E07 data with Machine Learning at RIKEN

Outcome of the E07 experiments

AA candidates: 14







H. Ekawa et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019, 021D02

Twin A events: 13







Non-triggered events recorded in 1000 emulsions sheets

- 1000 double-strangeness hypernuclear events
- Millions of single-strangeness hypernuclear events

Overall scanning of all emulsion sheets (35 X 35 cm² X 1000)

































Data size:

- 10⁷ images per emulsion (100 T Byte)
 10¹⁰ images per 1000 emulsions (100 P Byte)
 Number of background tracks:
- •Beam tracks: 10⁴/mm²
- Nuclear fragmentations: 10³/mm²

Current equipments/techniques with visual inspections

750 years





liced image

1000 double strangeness hypernuclei (formerly 8)

Machine Learning

Analysis of J-PARC E07 data with Machine Learning

Double strangeness hypernuclei

New experiments at J-PARC

Development of the machine learning model (mask-R CNN) with training data produced by Monte Carlo simulations and GAN technique

In progress

Development of the Machine Learning model with Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)

Detecting α -decay events for calibrating the emulsion sheet (density, shrinkage, ...)

Starting in April 2020

Challenge:

Training data produced with Monte Carlo simulations

Completed J. Yoshida et al., arXiv:2009.05770 (September 2020) In the revision process with NIM A

Applications (in progress)

Nondestructive inspection

Fuel cells

Li batteries

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Medical applications

Medical applications

BNCT

Very precise neutron imaging with emulsion

Semiconductor devices

Power transistors

Very precise g-ray imaging with emulsion

✓ Very precise PET (hybrid)

THEIA seminar October 28th

High Energy Nuclear Physics Lab at RIKEN (2019 -)



High Energy Nuclear Physics Lab at RIKEN (2019 -)





Yukiko Kurakata Yoshiki Tanaka Secretary Staff res.



Yue Ma Hiroyuki Ekawa Staff res. Postdoc.

Vasyl Drozt Englang Liu Manami Ph.D. student Ph.D. student Postdoc (GSI/Groningen) gawa Abdul Munee Ph.D. student Chief scientist: • Take R. Saito Secretary: Yukiko Kurakata Permanent staff researchers: Yoshiki Tanaka · He Wang Yue Ma Senior researcher: Nami Saito Postdocs: Hiroyuki Nakagawa Manami Nakagawa Junya Yoshida (Tohoku U) Ph.D. students: Abdul Muneem Engiang Liu Ayumi Kasagi Master student: · Wenbo Dou Visiting researchers: Katsuya Hirota (Nagoya U) Kazuma Nakazawa (Gifu U) Masahiro Yoshimoto (Gifu U) Hypernucl. Phys. Group, GSI Leader: • Take R. Saito Engineers and technicians Tobias Weber H Alfaki Ph.D. students: V. Drozd Ryohei Sekiya Hypernucl. Phys. Group, Lanzhou University Leader and Professor: · Take R. Saito Secretary: Miao Yang Professors: Bauyuan Sun · TBA Associate professors: Xiyu Qiu Master student: Yan He