Online Joint GlueX-EIC-PANDA Machine Learning Workshop

Machine Learning for Beginners

Thomas Stibor

GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH

t.stibor@gsi.de

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Machine Learning for Beginners I, September 21th, 14:00 14:45
- Machine Learning for Beginners II, September 21th, 15:00 15:45
- Machine Learning for Beginners III, September 22th, 14:15 15:00
- Machine Learning for Beginners IV, September 23th, 14:15 15:00
- Support Vector Machines, September 24th, 15:15 16:00

Overview

- Literature
- Introductory Example
- Historical Overview
- Linear Classifiers
- Gradient Descent
- Neural Networks Learning (Backpropagation)
- Overfitting vs. Underfitting
- Bias-Variance Dilemma
- Support Vector Machines

Machine Learning is a large field, here we will focus and Neural Networks and Support Vector Machines.

Literature History of Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning



Some figures are from: The Quest for Artificial Intelligence (Nils J. Nilsson)

T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

Literature Machine Learning



Some figures are from: Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (Christopher M. Bishop)

T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Literature Neural Networks



T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

э

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Literature Support Vector Machines



T.Stibor (GSI)

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Literature Deep Learning



T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

An Introductory Example

Suppose that a fishpacking factory wants to automate the process of sorting incoming fish (salmon and sea bass).



After some preprocessing, each fish is characterized by feature vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (pattern), where the first component is the lightness and the second component the length.

T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

Pattern belongs to Class?



Given labeled training data $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times Y$ coming from some unknown probability distribution $P(\mathbf{x}, y)$. In this example, $Y \in \{\text{salmon, sea bass}\}$ and n = 2. Unseen (unlabeled) pattern belongs to class salmon or sea bass?

A (too underfitted) Classifier



This linear separation suggests the rule: Classify the fish as salmon if its features falls below the *decision boundary*, otherwise as sea bass.

A (too overfitted) Classifier



A too complex model will lead to decision boundary that gives perfect classification accuracy on training set (seen patterns), but poor classification on *unseen* patterns.

A Good Classifier



Optimal tradeoff between performance on the training set and simplicity of the model. This gives high classification accuracy on unseen patterns, i.e. it gives good *generalization*.

An Optimal Classifier



T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

History of Neural Networks



Note, this historical overview is far from being complete (c.f. The Quest for Artificial Intelligence (Nils J. Nilsson))

T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Neuron & Model of McCulloch and Pitts



Figure 2.6: Two neurons. (Adapted from *Science*, Vol. 316, p. 1416, 8 June 2007. Used with permission.)



Figure 2.8: Networks of McCulloch–Pitts neural elements. (Adapted from Fig. 1 of Warren S. McCulloch and Walter Pitts, "A Logical Calculus of Ideas Immanent in Nervous Activity," *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, Vol. 5, pp. 115–133, 1943.)

Taken from: The Quest for Artificial Intelligence (Nils J. Nilsson)

T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

Book Perceptrons (Minsky and Papert)



Frank Rosenblatt

Rosenblatt's perceptron played an important role in the history of machine learning. Initially, Rosenblatt simulated the perceptron on an IBM 704 computer at Cornell in 1957, but by the early 1960s he had built

special-purpose hardware that provided a direct, parallel implementation of perceptron learning. Many of his ideas were encapsulated in "Principles of Neurodynamics: Perceptrons and the Theory of Brain Mechanisms" published in 1962. Rosenblatt's work was criticized by Marvin Minksy, whose objections were published in the book "Perceptrons", co-authored with Seymour Papert. This book was widely misinterpreted at the time as showing that neural networks were fatally flawed and could only learn solutions for linearly separable problems. In fact, it only proved such limitations in the case of single-layer networks such as the perceptron and merely conjectured (incorrectly) that they applied to more general network models. Unfortunately, however, this book contributed to the substantial decline in research funding for neural computing, a situation that was not reversed until the mid-1980s. Today, there are many hundreds, in not thousands, of applications of neural networks in widespread use, with examples in areas such as handwriting recognition and information retrieval being used routinely by millions of people.

Taken from: Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (Christopher M. Bishop)

T.Stibor (GSI)

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

History of Neural Networks (cont.)



T.Stibor (GSI)

ML for Beginners

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

Overview ImageNet



- ullet pprox 14 million annotated images to indicate what objects are pictured.
- Objects categorized into 1000 classes (e.g. '*Tibetan mastiff*', '*Great Dane*', '*Eskimo dog, husky*', ...
- Top-1 score: Check if predicted class with highest probability is the same as the target label.
- Top-5 score: Check if target label is one of your 5 predictions with highest probability.

T.Stibor (GSI)

Why are Deep Neural Networks so successful?



Amount of data

Deep Neural Networks (Backpropagation) are *universal*, that is, applicable to a large class of problems: Vision, speech, text, ... and *scale* with data. Backpropagation (forward + backward pass) is intrinsically linked to matrix multiplication (GPU's, TPU's).

Attendance AI & ML conferences (1984 - 2019)

Attendance at large conferences (1984-2019)

Source: Conference provided data.



Note: IJCAI occurred every other year till 2014. The missing year between 1984 and 2014 are interpolated as the mean between the two known conference attendance dates to provide a comparative view across conferences.

Taken from: Artificial Intelligence Index, 2019 Annual Report (pp. 39)

T.Stibor (GSI)

21th September 2020 - 25th September 2020

Image: A matched block of the second seco

Machine Learning Framework

Machine Learning \equiv Optimization & Statistics Data \equiv (input data, target data)



T.Stibor (GSI)

Machine Learning Framework (Example SVM)



Machine Learning Framework (Example One-Class SVM)



Machine Learning Framework (Example HMM)



Machine Learning Framework (Ex. Neural Networks (NN))

