Electron Cloud Studies for SIS-18 and for the FAIR Synchrotrons



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Fedor Petrov





Outline



Why we study electron clouds for FAIR? Electron clouds around the world **First Observations** IPAC10 Electron Cloud Comunity Description of Model •Analytical Model for Electron Accumulation Neutralization Degree evolution Beam Centroid Oscillations •HEADTAIL Discussion Planned Experiments Beam based Direct measurements Conclusion

Outlook



July 6, 2010 | TU Darmstadt | Fachbereich 18 | Institut Theorie Elektromagnetischer Felder | Fedor Petrov | 3

Introduction. Why Do We Study Electron **Clouds for FAIR ?**

Electron cloud (EC) – electrons produced in SIS-18/100 due to different mechanisms could survive for many cycles.

EC effects:

- were observed and studied in various accelerator complexes
- instabilities and possible limiting factor for high intensity machines

FAIR will work with short proton bunches and intense coasting beams during slow extraction.

There was no detailed study of the EC problem for the low to medium energy heavy ions beams in SIS18 and SIS100

*F. Zimmerman, Phys. Rev. Special Topics – Accelerators and Beams, 7, 124801,(2004)





First Observations





Small proton machine. At injection: Coasting beam,R = 42 cm, E = 1 MeV and, I = 0.1 mA

First published observations in Budker Institute in Novosibirsk in 1965-1967 for bunched and coasting beams.

After threshold intensity dipole oscillations observed

*Vadim Dudnikov, IPAC10 discussion



Further Observations Around the World



With increasing currents and intensities EC studies become important for all major accelerator facilities around the world aspeccially operating with positively charged species.

Most of the studies till now were performed for bunched beams where main source of electron production is <u>secondary</u> <u>emission from the wall</u>

There are much less studies of EC problem in application to coasting beams due to their specific use.

IPAC10 showed that it is still so.



IPAC10 Electron Cloud Comunity



CERN SPS, CeSR-TA, RHIC, SuperKEKB (no works about coasting beam)

De Santis "TE WAVE MEASUREMENTS OF THE ELECTRON CLOUD IN THE CESR-TA RING"

Billing "TECHNIQUES FOR OBSERVATION OF BEAM DYNAMICS IN THE PRESENCE OF AN ELECTRON CLOUD"

Dudnikov information about observations in Novosibirsk



TE Wave Measurements of Electron Clouds



De Santis TE wave measurements in CeSR-TA



In cold machine heat load from synchrotron radiation can change the attenuation leading to sidebands deformation. Cure is to add reference modulation and compare the intensity of the bands. *MOPE088 IPAC10 De Santis



Button Pick-up Usage for EC Measurements



Billing "TECHNIQUES FOR OBSERVATION OF BEAM DYNAMICS IN THE PRESENCE OF AN ELECTRON CLOUD"

Time(40ns/div)

2.27E-06 2.31E-06 2.35E-06 2.39E-06 2.43E-06 2.47E-06 2.51E-06



Using button pick-up one can sample with high time resolution the evolution of electron density by changing the spacing between bunches.



Our Numerical Model



Model used by Ohmi* et al for the interaction of a coasting beam with an electron cloud:

- Ion beam: Only transverse rigid dipole C
 oscillations
- Longitudinal slicing of the ion beam.
- Slices are tracked trough the ring lattice.
- EC localized in one interaction point.
- •Landau damping is included in a simple way

*Ohmi et al, Workshop on Electron-Cloud Effects "ECLOUD'04" , Napa, CA, USA, 19 - 23 Apr 2004, pp.351-356.





Transverse Plane



Factors affecting electron cloud build-up in model:



- Residual gas ionization
- Electron secondary emission yield
- Ion secondary emission yield
- Electron refraction from the wall
- Coulomb scattering
- Bunch form and ion energy



Cross Sections



Kaganovich fit for ionization cross sections

$$\sigma(v, I_{nl}, Z_{i}) = \pi a^{2} \frac{Z_{i}}{(1 + Z_{i}/Z_{T})} N_{nl} \frac{E_{0}^{2}}{I_{nl}^{2}} G_{new}(\frac{v}{v_{nl}\sqrt{1 + Z_{i}/Z_{T}}})$$

$$G_{new}(x) = \frac{\exp(-1/x^2)}{x^2} [1.26 + 0.283 \ln(2x^2 + 25)]$$

• Agreement with strict quantum mechanics calculation and experimental values

Kaganovich et al, New Journal of Physics 8 (2006) 278



Analytical Model for Electron Accumulation in Coasting Beam



- Assumptions:
- Cylindrical geometry
- Stable beam
- Electron production due to the gas ionization
- Coulomb scattering
- Heated electrons are lost on the wall

Average potential barrier $U_{lim} \approx \frac{U_{wall}}{2}$ Neutralization degree $\eta(t) = \frac{n_e(t)}{Z_i \cdot N_i}$ Electron balance equation V_i

$$n'_{e} = V_{i} - \frac{V_{heat}}{U_{lim}(1-\eta)} n_{e}$$

P. Zenkevich, N. Mustafin, O. Boine-Frankenheim, Proceedings of Ecloud 2002



Fixed Coasting Beam in SIS-18



Slices were fixed in the middle of cylindrical pipe





Electron Spectra and Schottky Noise



l≚≎

Question: can the natural Schottky fluctuations of the beam potential lead to loss of the trapped electron ?



Two-Stream Instability in Case of Beam-Electron interaction





 For 1GeV/u neutralization degree is higher
 There are enough electrons to lead to an observable oscillations









The harmonic with maximal amplitude is close to the electron bounce frequency

This could be a guide for beam based EC measurements using RGM, Schottky pick-up



HEADTAIL



G. Rumolo in 2004 using modified HEADTAIL performed calculations for SIS18 U²⁸⁺ coasting beam at energy 11.4 MeV/u.

HEADTAIL is a versatile PIC-code for electron-ion beam interaction simulations. Originally suited for modeling interaction with precalculated EC from ECLOUD. In 2004 it was modified to model coasting beams and electron cloud build-up simultaneously.

Rumolo simulations in 2004 showed no dipole oscillations but linear emittance growth. Although he have used underestimated cross sections his pressure was overestimated.





HEADTAIL Discussion and Problems



=•

Till now I didn't achieve the agreement with Rumolo results using HEADTAIL and the same parameters. However, no huge dipole oscillations.

Possible problems of complete PIC modeling:

- 1. At each interaction point EC interacts with small fraction of beam particles contained in the slice => big artificial noise $\sim \sigma/(N_{maxrr})^{-1/2}$
- 2. Modeling the fraction of the ring leads to the removal of many of harmonics responsible for beam loss



Planned Experiments



Beam-based

•Measuring beam response in the spectral region of electron bounce frequency using Schottky pick-up, RGM etc

Direct

•Retarding field analyzers, Button pick-up

* S.Paret, Ph.D. thesis

** E.Mahner, Phys.Rev.ST AP 2008







TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Conclusion

- Two-dimensional code with rigid beam slices is developed
- Simulations show that beam centroid can oscillate with significant amplitude even for very small neutralization factors
- Preliminary HEADTAIL simulations do not show significant beam centroid and η oscillations.
- This could be either due to the insufficient number of macroparticles and slices or due to the more realistic Landau damping



Outlook



Further convergence studies are necessary for HEADTAIL simulations
Beam-based experiments should be performed to investigate whether or not beam exhibits oscillations in the region expected
The installation of button pick-ups in SIS18 is planned for October-November 2010 which will allow to measure electron presence dirrectly



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

QUESTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS ARE WELCOME