

Г

Template Number: F-FO-QUA-en-0012

| Meeting: | 4 th FAIR-BINP workshop | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Discussion on FDR of Super-FRS FoS diagnostic chamber | | | |
| Date: | 29.05.2019 09:00-12:00 | Author: S. Purushothaman | |
| Participants: | Martin Winkler (MW), Si Krasnov (AK), Martin Ma Christos Karagiannis (C Alexander Bergmann (A eios (VV), Andreas Krae | vaji Purushothaman (SP), Alexander arenich (MM), Chiara Nociforo (CN), K), Martin Gleim (MG), Ivan Mukha (IM), B), Konstantin Istomin (KI), Velonas Vasil- emer (AKr), Joerg Kurdal (JK) | |
| Distribution: | Haik Simon, Sivaji Puru ciforo, Christos Karagia Bergmann, Helmut Wei Joerg Kurdal, Andreas k | shothaman, Martin Marenich, Chiara No- nnis, Martin Gleim, Ivan Mukha, Alexander ck, Konstantin Istomin, Martin Winkler, Kraemer, Velonas Vasileios | |
| Document Number: | | | |

| A: Action, D: Decision, I: Information | | | Due Date | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Status of SFRS diagnostic chamber FDR document preparation | | | | |
| I | BINP proved 2D drawings and 3D models prior to the workshop and GSI engineering department (Gleim, Martin) checked the 2D drawings and Alexander Bergmann performed a DMU check. The results of these studies and comments from SFRS group members and alignment group are presented. Remark on a sequence of a serial production of the diagnostic chambers. The proposed sequence of production is: FoS – the vacuum chamber FPF2DK1 and its support, then Serial Production – 1st- FLF6DK1, 2nd- FMF1DK1, then a copy of FPF2DK1, then FPF3DK1, FPF4DK1, FMF2DK1, FMF2DK2, FMF2DK3, FMF3DK1, FHF1DK1, FHF1DK2, FLF1DK1,FLF2DK1, FLF3DK1, FLF4DK1, FLF5DK1, FRF1DK1, FRF2DK1, FRF3DK1, FRF1DK1, FRF2DK1, FRF3DK1. | | All actions must be taken be- fore the FDR of FoS diagnostic chamber | |
| | | | | |



GSİ

Page 2 of 5

| A: Action, D: Decision, I: Information Who Due Date | | | | |
|---|-----|--|----|-------------------------------------|
| | | The last chamber FTF1DK1 to be cylindrical. | | |
| | | The FoS chamber together with 1st-of-series FLF6DK1 and 2nd-of-series FMF1DK1 cover all types of flanges. The latter two may hosts all types except the F550 which is for Disk Degrader only. | | |
| 2. dm | U c | heck of 3D model –discussion on presentation by Alexander Bergman | n | |
| А | | 1. please use part names as described in data exchange guideline F- TG-B-02e-DARL_T1-v3 <u>https://edms.cern.ch/document/1229366/7</u> | ΑB | |
| | • | Will be addressed Al | ٨K | |
| А | | GSI doesn't need 3D-Models for welding seams! Please only provide Information about welding seams in 2D-Drawings. Please remove these parts from "FSVB_FPF2DK1_0101AS"– Assembly in the 3D-Model Delivery. | AB | All actions must be taken be- |
| | • | Will be addressed | АК | fore the FDR of FoS |
| А | | 3. Skeleton with installation space is missing. | | diagnostic chamber |
| | • | Alexander Krasnov is not aware of this and Ivan will check if this is up- loaded to EDMS. | | |
| | • | The stp file of a chamber skeleton with installation space has been sent to A Krasnov | ΑK | |
| A | | | AB | |
| | | 4. Cyninc letters are generally excluded from delivery due to import problems! Standard parts should be renamed, due to Cyrillic letters! | | |
| | • | Will be addressed Al | чκ | |
| A | | 5. conflict with conceptual design of media board | | |
| | | a. Proposal: 150mm lower position of the upper fiducial tar- gets | λB | |
| A | • | This is possible but drawings should be changed and this will cause de- lays. FAIR and BINP agreed to proceed with the change | λK | |
| | | more fiducial targets asked by alignment group (possibility for an analysis of bending, higher accuracy) | ΑB | |
| А | | Proposal: all together 4 more fiducial targets -> for the left and right (in respect to the beam direction) wall of the chamber, in middle position of upper and lower existing fi- ducial targets. | ΑK | |
| A | • | This is possible but drawings should be changed and this will cause de- lays. FAIR and BINP agreed to proceed with the change | | |



GSİ

F-FO-QUA-en-0012 Page 3 of 5

| A: Action, D: Decision, I: Information | | | Who | Due Date | |
|--|---|--|--|----------|--------------------------------------|
| | | beam height a. 2000mm nominal (1985mm structure+15mm for floor com- | | AB | |
| | | b. | (floor compensation with collection of 2mm, 5mm, 10mm plates okay, accepted) | AK | |
| | • | Will be addr | ressed | | All actions |
| Ι | | 8. Installat | tion space | | must be taken be- |
| I | | a. | Anchor points exceed the installation space -> no collison, tolerated | AB | fore the FDR of FoS diagnostic |
| I | | b. | chamber floor lower than specified-> no collision, no es- cape route conflict -> tolerated | | chamber |
| Ι | | C. | centering pin exceed the installation space -> interface, tol- erated | | |
| А | | d. | interface for pumping supports exceed the installation space, tolerated | AK | |
| | | e. | Proposal: Enlargement of the installation space | АВ | |
| | • | Will be addr | ressed | | |
| A | | f. | Flange position: a shifting of interface due to enlargement! The value "280mm" is formally okay. Is there an agreement of these shifted interface?, but there is a shifting of inter- face due to enlargement! Is there an agreement of these shifted interface? | АВ | |
| Δ | • | shift of a fl | ange position is tolerated. | AB | |
| | | 9. Is electi | rical grounding required? | SP | |
| A | • | This topic is there are ar colleagues. | not addressed in the detail spec. Company will check if ny guidelines or recommendations and will update the BINP | | |
| | • | possibility quested ho | of electrical grounding will be provided by the re- bles in the support stand. | AB | |
| | | 10. Is there a mechanical Analysis for the stand? | | AK | |
| | • | Will be addr | ressed | | |
| | | | | | |



GSI

| A: Action, D: Decision, I: Information | | | Due Date |
|--|--|-------------|------------------------|
| | | | |
| 3. Cho Ali | eck of 2D model b GSI egg. department (Martin Gleim), SFRS group (Chri gnment group ()–discussion on presentation by Ivan Mukha | istos Karaç | giannis), |
| I | the report on drawing inspection and comments on the scanned drawings indicate that there is still a room for improvement. But the drawings are fine in general. | MG | |
| | Comments from Martin Gleim | | |
| А | When assembling the vacuum chamber, information for the leak rate and cleaning is missing. | MG | All actions |
| | will be addressed For the individual parts of the vacuum chamber, the material speci- | АК | must be taken be- |
| A | fication is only "Stainless Steel". This should be specified in more detail. | MG | fore the FDR of FoS |
| | Already addressed | АК | chamber |
| А | In some cases, machined surfaces which are not sealing surfaces were indicated with a surface roughness of Rz 6.3 microns. Please specify Rz 25 microns for such areas. | MG | |
| | will be addressed | AK | |
| А | In the case of the interface plate of the feet, the position toler- ance of the threaded holes is missing. | MG | |
| | Comments will be sent to Alexander and this will be addressed. | AK | |
| А | The base frame looks somewhat fragile compared to the vacuum chamber. | MG | |
| | Analysis of the frame will be performed | АК | |
| А | The horizontal struts of the frame have only a general tolerance. With the longest strut of 3080mm, a difference in length of 4mm is expected in the worst case. | MG | |
| | Tolerances of the frame component length is 4 mm and this: this will be checked addressed | АК | |
| | 7. Some drawings have recurring spelling errors. | MG | |
| A | Will be addressed | АК | |
| | Comments from Christos Karagiannis | | |
| I | The alignment feet of the chamber are placed at the corners or at the edge of the chamber. This could allow a larger bending of the chamber. Are there any calculations of the chamber bending /strength by fully equipped chamber (8 drives x750kg each) under vacuum? What is the max bending of it? | СК | |
| | are within specs (only for vacuum chamber not the support frame) | АК | |



GSI

F-FO-QUA-en-0012 Page 5 of 5

| A: Action, D: Decision, I: Information | | | Due Date |
|--|--|----------|----------|
| А | 2. The struts of the chamber stand are not cross reinforced. Are there any strength calculations?Stress analysis of the frame will be performed. | СК АК | |
| A | 3. Suggestion: the holder plates which are carrying the threads for the media boards and are welded at the side of the chamber could be exchanged with one long one along the chamber. Single plates were suggested by us, but the long plate has some advantages for the welding and positioning against several single plates. Since there will be already a change in engineering drawings due to proposed changes, this suggestion will be also considered. | СК | |
| I | 4. The position of top fiducial holders placed at the media board side are not useful. There are the media boards with cables and tubes and I think these fiducial holders should be moved. We should ask the alignment group for that. Topic already discussed earlier | СК | |
| А | 5. At the media board side, we should foresee some threads along the support for cable management. All cables come from the back side of the chamber from the floor till up to the media boards. The threads should be on both sides. Please send a sketch and will be adapted. | СК | |
| | Comments from Velonas Vasileios | | |
| I. | We want at least two more fiducials at the middle of the chamber in order to control, if necessary, the deflection of such a large chamber. Already addressed | vv | |
| I. | We have to be careful on which side the fiducials are attached, namely looking toward the tunnel side (not to the aisle side). Already addressed | vv | |
| | | | |
| | | | |