# DEVELOPMENT OF COOLING DEMONSTRATOR FOR THE CBM SILICON TRACKING SYSTEM (STS)

<u>K. Agarwal<sup>1</sup></u>, M. Kis<sup>2</sup>, P. Kuhl<sup>2</sup>, H.R. Schmidt<sup>1,2</sup>, O. Vasylyev<sup>2</sup>

for the CBM Collaboration

<sup>1</sup> Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen – Tübingen (DE)

<sup>2</sup> GSI Helmholtz Centre for Heavy Ion Research – Darmstadt (DE)

DPG Spring Meeting – München

20/03/2019





MATHEMATISCH-NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT Physikalisches Institut



### **INTRODUCTION TO CBM-STS**





#### **STS Features:**

- 8 layers  $\rightarrow$  896 silicon microstrip sensors
- Track Reconstruction,  $\varepsilon \ge 98\%$
- Momentum Resolution,  $\Delta p/p \approx 1.5\%$

Figure: Side-view of STS sensors with artistic illustration of a multi-strange hyperon ( $\underline{=}$ ) decay in STS

### **INTRODUCTION TO CBM-STS**





#### STS Features:

- 8 layers  $\rightarrow$  896 silicon microstrip sensors
- Track Reconstruction,  $\epsilon \ge 98\%$
- Momentum Resolution,  $\Delta p/p \approx 1.5\%$
- Material Budget ~ 1.5 % X<sub>0</sub> per layer
- FEE and other detector infrastructure is placed outside detector acceptance
- Sensors connected to the FEE via microcables

Figure: Side-view of STS sensors on ladders with artistic illustration of a multi-strange hyperon ( $\Xi$ ) decay in STS

### **INTRODUCTION TO CBM-STS**





Х





*Figure: Isometric-view of STS; Sensors with relevant infrastructure (i.e., FEE, cabling and cooling) located at acceptance periphary* 



#### Silicon Sensors:

- Expected power dissipation ~ 6 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> at -10°C at end-of-lifetime (fluence - 10<sup>14</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>(1 MeV)/cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Target Temp. ≤ -10°C, by min. additional % X<sub>0</sub> per layer
- Forced convective gas cooling





#### Silicon Sensors:

- Expected power dissipation ~ 6 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> at -10°C at end-of-lifetime (fluence - 10<sup>14</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>(1 MeV)/cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Target Temp. ≤ -10°C, by min. additional % X<sub>0</sub> per layer
- Forced convective gas cooling

#### Electronics and Power Cables:

- Expected power dissipation ~ 40kW in < 3.5 m<sup>3</sup>
- Target Temp. ≤ -10°C, to avoid any heat transfer to silicon sensors (only 10 – 50 cm away)
- Bi-phase CO<sub>2</sub> cooling is the first choice
  - High Volumetric HTC  $\rightarrow$  Smaller tubes
  - GWP =  $1 \rightarrow$  Longer operational lifetime
  - Radiation hard
- Monophase 3M Novec 649 fluid as a backup



#### Silicon Sensors:

- Expected power dissipation ~ 6 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> at -10°C at end-of-lifetime (fluence - 10<sup>14</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>(1 MeV)/cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Target Temp.  $\leq$  -10°C, by min. additional % X<sub>0</sub> per layer
- Forced convective gas cooling

#### Electronics and Power Cables:

- Expected power dissipation ~ 40kW in < 3.5 m<sup>3</sup>
- Target Temp. ≤ -10°C, to avoid any heat transfer to silicon sensors (only 10 – 50 cm away)
- Bi-phase CO<sub>2</sub> cooling is the first choice
  - High Volumetric HTC → Smaller tubes
  - GWP =  $1 \rightarrow$  Longer operational lifetime
  - Radiation hard
- Monophase 3M Novec 649 fluid as a backup

#### Thermal Enclosure:

- CF sandwiched foam ( $\sim$  20 mm)  $\rightarrow$  Structural support
- Thermal and moisture tight (RH < 0.5% at 20°C)</li>



#### Silicon Sensors:

- Expected power dissipation ~ 6 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> at -10°C at end-of-lifetime (fluence - 10<sup>14</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>(1 MeV)/cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Target Temp. ≤ -10°C, by min. additional % X<sub>0</sub> per layer
- Forced convective gas cooling

#### Electronics and Power Cables:

- Expected power dissipation ~ 40kW in < 3.5 m<sup>3</sup>
- Target Temp. ≤ -10°C, to avoid any heat transfer to silicon sensors (only 10 – 50 cm away)
- Bi-phase CO<sub>2</sub> cooling is the first choice
  - High Volumetric HTC  $\rightarrow$  Smaller tubes
  - GWP =  $1 \rightarrow$  Longer operational lifetime
  - Radiation hard
- Monophase 3M Novec 649 fluid as a backup

#### Thermal Enclosure:

- CF sandwiched foam ( $\sim$  20 mm)  $\rightarrow$  Structural support
- Thermal and moisture tight (RH < 0.5% at 20°C)</li>

Experimental verification of all cooling concepts in a 'realisitc' setup with up to 3 half-layers (stations) <u>COOLING DEMONSTRATOR</u>

# SILICON SENSOR COOLING

- Air nozzles outside detector acceptance delivers cold gas directly on the (dummy) sensors
- No additional % X<sub>0</sub> per layer
- Located between the ladders
- Easy integration
- Vibrational studies of the sensors will be subsequently studied







### FEE COOLING



Each <u>FEB Box</u> derives it cooling from a <u>cooling plate</u> attached on the <u>C-Frame</u>



### FEE COOLING – BIPHASE CO<sub>2</sub>





# THERMAL SIMULATIONS OF FEE – BIPHASE CO<sub>2</sub>





### THERMAL SIMULATIONS OF FEE – BIPHASE CO<sub>2</sub>





20/10/2019 - DPG Spring Meeting (München)

# THERMAL SIMULATIONS OF FEE – BIPHASE CO<sub>2</sub>



#### Semi-emperical boiling flow model

- Heat Transfer Coefficient
- Pressure Drop
- Dry-out onset
- ✓ Cooling line dimensioning
- ✓ Mass flow estimation

- Thermal FEA model (SolidWorks)
  - Conduction (and Radiation, Convection)
  - (Thermal Interface Materials)
  - ✓ Maximum Temp.

- Coolant Temp. = -30°C
- Heat Load = 594 W
- Tube ID = 3.6 mm
- Tube Length = 2 m

- Mass Flow = 7 g/s
- Pressure Drop = 0.14 bar
- Dry-out margin = 56%
  (x<sub>dryout</sub> = 0.63)



- Fair amount of flexibility in input parameters which could be determined computationally
- 1 kW CO<sub>2</sub> cooling plant in development at GSI

## THERMAL SIMULATIONS OF FEE – MONOPHASE NOVEC 649

#### Biphase CO<sub>2</sub>

#### ✓ Great performance

- less mass flow
- low pressure drop
- smaller tubes
- uniform temperature

X Potentially difficult for commercial manufacturing (2PACL-type system)

Monophase NOVEC

X Relatively lower performance

- higher mass flow
- higher pressure drop
- larger tubes
- non-uniform temperature
- ✓ Easier commercial manufacturing

# Thermal Simulations Of FEE – Monophase Novec 649



#### Biphase CO<sub>2</sub>

#### ✓ Great performance

- less mass flow
- low pressure drop
- smaller tubes
- uniform temperature
- X Potentially difficult for commercial manufacturing (2PACL-type system)
- Monophase NOVEC
  - X Relatively lower performance
    - higher mass flow
    - higher pressure drop
    - larger tubes
    - non-uniform temperature
  - ✓ Easier commercial manufacturing

- Coolant Temp. = -40°C
- Heat Load = 1080 W
- Tube ID = 5.4 mm
- Tube Length = 2.7 m

- Mass Flow = 150 g/s
- Pressure Drop = 1.25 bar

#### WORST CASE INITIAL CONDITIONS



- Fair amount of flexibility in input parameters which could be determined computationally
- Feasible alternative and details are in progess

### CONCLUSIONS & OUTLOOK

- STS Heat Sources
  - Silicon sensors: 6 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> at -10°C → Forced gas convection via nozzles
  - Electronics: 40 kW  $\rightarrow$  Biphase CO<sub>2</sub> or Monophase NOVEC cooling
  - Ambient: 40 W/m<sup>2</sup> with 20 mm CF-Foam sandwich
- Models developed to do computational characterisation done for electronics cooling
  - Useful to determine operational parameters
  - Parallel efforts to check with CO<sub>2</sub> and NOVEC cooling with respective cooling plants
- Cooling demonstrator in progress to experimentally validate the cooling concepts
  - Testing upto 3 STS half-layers (or stations) in realistic constraints
  - Most of the part ordering done or is in progress





# THANKS A LOT FOR YOUR ATTENTION ③





#### STS Features:

20/10/2019 - DPG Spring Meeting (München)





### STS COOLING DEMONSTRATOR





*Figure: CAD Front-view (Left) and Isometric-view (Right) of the upcoming STS Cooling Demonstrator (still in progress)* 

20/10/2019 - DPG Spring Meeting (München)



### ELECTRONICS COOLING



1. Pair of Front-end Electronics Boards (FEBs) [Readout for 1 silicon sensor]



2. Series of FEBs assembled together: FEB Box [Readout for 5 sensors = ½ ladder]

