Timewalk Measurements MuPix6

PANDA Collaboration Meeting 2018/1

Luminosity Detector Session

Tabea Röthemeyer

Ruhr-University Bochum

MuPix 6

- Pixel matrix: 40 rows x 32 columns
- Pixel size: $80 \times 102 \ \mu m$
- Active area: 3200 x 3264 μm^2
- Every pixel has its own analog readout part
 - Causes the timewalk



MuPix 6 chip layout (red single, blue double stage amplification)

Analogue readout



- Every pixel has its own amplifying stage
- Second amplifier in peripherie
 - Signal is driven by source follower
- Charge sensitive preamplifier: voltage signal

Timewalk

- Time over threshold and latency correlate
- question: when did the particle hit? (only timestamp when signal occured known)
 - functional correlation to calculate estimated latency depending on the tot (timewalk correction)
- Influence of high voltage on timewalk

Experimental Set-Up

- sensorboard in black-painted aluminium box
- Testsource: laserdiode (860nm)
 - Triggered with a function generator (which also starts latency measurement)
- Readout with TRBv3 and Software (by Tobias Weber)
 - GUI (based on Qt): visualized settings and measurements



Evaluation and Plots

Timewalk Histogram Pixel 9,25 5V HV _atenz [ns] Pixel(09,25) 5V HV Entries CALCULATION OF ToT [ns] ToT Profil Timewalk Histogram Pixel 9,25 5V HV Mittlere Latenz [ns] Parameter Fitfunktion x2 / ndf 555.8 / 172 p0 5.265 ± 0.005 p1 -0.005427 ± 0.000062 p2 276.4 ± 1.4 -0.04969 ± 0.00188 Mittlere Latenz mit Fehlerbalken Fitfunktion

ToT [ns]

- Fit Function:
 - $\exp(p0 + p1^*x) + p2 + p3^*x$
- Fit for every taken measurement (static high voltage, one pixel)

Results



- left Pixel (9,25), right Pixel (9,26)
- Fit functions for one pixel at different hv values
- Latency range differs, similar latency for high tot values

Results

- Influence of HV is small, not systematic
- Pixel differ: correction for every single pixel needed?
- Working set-up for timewalk measurements