



Study of antiproton-proton annihilation reaction and experimental contribution to hadron polarimetry



Wang Ying

Supervisors: Egle Tomasi-Gustafsson Dominique Marchand

Content

Phenomenology

Introduction

Motivation

Effective meson theory and

Crossing symmetry

Results for charged particles

and neutral particles

angular distribution of pion,

kaon, eta pairs.

S-dependence

Summary

Experiment

Introduction

Motivation for ALPOM2 experiment

Comparison of figure of merit for np zero exchange and charge exchange reactions

Polarized protons and neutrons: measure analyzing powers up to 4.2 GeV/c on C, CH, CH2 targets

Preliminary Results

Summary

PARTI

 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$

Motivation

- The reaction $\overline{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-$ allows to measure electromagnetic proton form factors.
- Important simulation work is under way.
- The reaction $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ is the main background :
 - has a large cross section,
 - contains information on the quark content of the proton
 - allow to test different QCD models

It is necessary to fully understand the process $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

Evolution of oscillatory behavior : Sum of resonances



E. Eisenhandler, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 98 (1975) 109.

Physics Letters B 471 (1999) 271-279

Earlier recent work

Comparison with the model from ref: EPJ A 46 (2010), 291-298

- With Regge factor
 - well work for the backward
 region. can be improved in
 the forward and central
 regions.
 - the parameters in the
 Regge factors are not well
 followed the mass and
 angular momentum.



PARTI

Motivation

Few experimental data at the PANDA energies to constrain the model $\bar{p}p
ightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$



Extrapolation of existing models to Panda range is risky

Few and incomplete angular distributions data of annihilation(6 sets of $\pi^+\pi^-$ in panda energy region)

6

Effective Lagrangian Model



 $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_n+\mathcal{M}_{\Delta^0}+\mathcal{M}_{\Delta^{++}}+\mathcal{M}_
ho.$

$$F_{N,\Delta}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{N,\Delta} \cdot M_0^4}{\left[(x - \Lambda_{N,\Delta}^2) \log \frac{(x - \Lambda_{N,\Delta}^2)}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2} \right]^2},$$

- → logarithmic form factors
- → no Regge factors
 - Regge factors not well extrapolation to time like region
 - Regge factors give none physic parameters

$$x = s, t, u$$

 $M_0 = 3.86$ GeV is a scale parameter $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.3$ GeV is the QCD scale parameter

Results and Comparison



Components of our model



Crossing symmetry

$$\begin{split} \bar{p}(p_1) + p(p_2) &\to \pi^-(k_1) + \pi^+(k_2) \\ \pi^-(-k_2) + p(p_2) &\to \pi^-(k_1) + p(-p_1), \ p_1 \to -k_2 \\ s_s &= (-k_2 + p_2)^2 \to t_a \\ t_s &= (-k_2 - k_1)^2 \to s_a \\ u_s &= (-k_2 + p_1)^2 \to u_a \\ \sigma^a &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{(a)}|^2}{64\pi^2 s} \frac{|\vec{k_s}|}{|\vec{p_a}|} \\ \sigma^s &= \cos t \cdot s^{-2} \\ \sigma^s(s) &= \sigma^s(s_1) \cdot \frac{s^{-2}}{s_1^{-2}} \\ \sigma^a(s) &= f \sigma^s(s_1) \cdot \frac{s^{-2}}{s_1^{-2}} \end{split}$$



Crossing symmetry of the model



using crossing symmetry
 from pπ- elastic to get
 more experiment data
 in the backward region



limited T momenta with s increasing (L can be infinite) average number of particles produced grows slowly

when s increases

2 experimental rules in the reaction

kinematics is defined

- by dimensions T and L
- Strong dynamical difference between T-L

Quark counting rules:

<u>Hadron-hadron collision at high energies (CMS)</u>

- Essential constants purely related to T

Asymptotically, the average number of particles(mutiplicity), and the average transverse momentum are constant as function of s.

LETTERE AL NUOVO CIMENTO (1973) 5 14 V. A. Matveev et al. Automodelity in Strong Interactions.



S-dependence $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$

Compare to quark counting rules at 90 degree



PRL (1973) 31. 18.

S. J. Brodsky, G. R. Farrar

Scaling Laws at Large Transverse Momentum

LETTERE AL NUOVO CIMENTO (1973) 5 14

V. A. Matveev et al.

Automodelity in Strong Interactions.

 $d\sigma/dt \sim s^{2-n} f(t/s)$

 $d\sigma/dt \sim s^{-8} f(t/s)$

Results and Comparison $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^- K^+$



14

Total cross section



 Black dashed line modified from A Dbeyssi PhD

$$\sigma = a \cdot e^{-(b \cdot p_{lab} + c \cdot p_{lab}^2 + d)} + \frac{e}{p_{lab}}$$

Added term

- Red solid line our model
- Black solid line -Mainz generator pink points from the integration of limited angular distribution.

From pion to eta through SU(3)

Pion and eta mesons are pseudoscalar mesons. The decay to $\eta\eta$ can be described from $\pi^0\pi^0$ using the wellknown decomposition of singlet and octet states, where the mixing angle is $\Theta \approx 45^\circ$

$$\begin{array}{l} \eta \approx (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2} + s\bar{s} \\ (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})\sqrt{2} \\ q\bar{q} > = \cos\Theta|\eta > + \sin\Theta|\eta' > \\ |s\bar{s} > = -\sin\Theta|\eta > + \cos\Theta|\eta' > \end{array}$$

$$f(\eta\eta) = f(\pi^0 + \pi^0)\cos^2\Theta$$

 $f(\pi^0 \eta) = f(\pi^0 + \pi^0) \cos \Theta$

$\pi^0\pi^0$ production

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2^8 \pi^2} \frac{1}{s} \frac{\beta_\pi}{\beta_p} \overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2}$$



$$F_{N,\Delta}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{N,\Delta} \cdot M_0^4}{\left[(x - \Lambda_{N,\Delta}^2) \log \frac{(x - \Lambda_{N,\Delta}^2)}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2} \right]^2}, \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{N}(s)_{p,\Delta} \to \mathcal{N}(s)_{p,\Delta} - e^{\frac{p_{p,\Delta}^N(s)}{\sqrt{s}}} \\ \Lambda(s)_{p,\Delta} \to \Lambda(s)_{p,\Delta} - e^{\frac{p_{p,\Delta}^N(s)}{\sqrt{s}}}$$

 $M_0 = 3.86$ GeV is a scale parameter $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.3$ GeV is the QCD scale parameter

Form factor for s-channel

$$FF_f(s) = \frac{F_f^2}{F_f^2 + M_f^2 - s}$$

p𝒴(N)	-3,013	0,210
$p\mathscr{N}(\varDelta)$	-5,959	0,205
р^(N)	4,047	0,019
р <i>^</i> (Д)	3,141	0,002

Results of $\pi^0\pi^0$



Components for $\pi^0\pi^0$



F _{f0}	0,870	0,014
F _{f2}	0,187	0,001
x 2/ndf	0,787	

- Black solid line our model
- Blue dashed line -f₀.
- The bump is produced by the J=2 meson f_{2.}

Integrated cross section of $\pi^0\pi^0$



• black line - full angular range

- Red line integrated within the region $0 < \cos\theta < 0.66$
- Blue line integrated within the region $0 < \cos\theta < 0.48$

Results of $\eta \pi^0$ with SU(3) symmetry



Results of ηη with SU(3) symmetry



Summary Part I

A promising model based on effective Lagrangian has been built to describe 2 meson production in pbar p annihilation

- We reproduced existing charged and neutral pion data.
- We reproduced π +p, π -p using crossing symmetry
- Charged kaon channel obtained from SU3 symmetry: K+K-. Neutral production

ηη, ηπ0 obtained through SU(3) symmetry from π0π0.

• very good results on angular distributions and the expected s-dependence have been obtained

therefore we can trust the extrapolation of our model in the PANDA energy range.

PART II Analyzing powers

- Measuring analyzing powers (ALPOM2) is the first step to conceive and optimize a polarimeter to measure proton (neutron) polarization .
- Polarization experiments are needed for the extraction of electromagnetic form factors (FFs) using polarized eN elastic experiments (Jlab).

Motivation

Jlab proposals (PAC 43) :Proton and neutron FFs with Akhiezer-Rekalo recoil polarization method at Q^2 at 12.4 GeV^2 and 8.4 GeV^2.



Motivation

Optimising nucleon polarimetry for high transfer momenta

Akhiezer-Rekalo recoil polarization method

A.I. Akhiezer and Mikhail.P. Rekalo. Polarization phenomena in electron scattering by protons in the high energy region. *Sov.Phys.Dokl.*, 13:572, 1968.

Elastic ep reaction (1-y exchange)





$$\frac{P_{t=x}}{P_{\ell=z}} = -2\cot(\theta_e/2)\frac{M_p}{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}\frac{G_E}{G_M}$$

The simultaneous measurement of P_t and P_l reduces systematic errors to achieve more precise ratio of FFs

Principle of polarimetry

Azimuthal distribution of protons after scattering in the analyzer

 $Q^2 = 5.6 \ GeV/c^2$

Asymmetry very small, experiment very difficult



M.K. Jones et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 84(2000), 1398.

O. Gayou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88(2002), 092301.

V. Punjabi et al., Phys. Rev. C71(2005), 055202.

A.J.R. Puckett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104(2010), 242301.

Principle of polarimetry

Working principle: measurement of the azlmuthal asymmetry in a scattering

Vector polarization: (Proton) inclusive scattering on light targets: $p+C(CH2) \rightarrow$ one charged particle +X (Neutron) $n p \rightarrow n p (pn), CH-target$

$$N^{\pm}(\theta,\phi) = N_{0}(\theta)(1\pm P_{y}A_{y}(\theta)\cos\phi),$$

$$R(\theta,\phi) = \frac{N^{+}(\theta,\phi) - N^{-}(\theta,\phi)}{N^{+}(\theta,\phi) + N^{-}(\theta,\phi)} = a_{1}(\theta)\cos\phi$$

$$A_{y}(\theta) = \frac{a_{1}(\theta)}{P_{y}}, \ \Delta A_{y} \simeq \frac{1}{P_{y}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{Incident}}}.$$
Front Tracking Chambers Analyzer Back Tracking Chambers

ALPOM II

Upgrade ALPOM (http://lhe.jinr.ru/alpom/) Pp up to 7.5 GeV/c Pn up to 5.3 GeV/c

Measurement of the inclisive $\vec{p}CH_2$ analyzing power at high energies (ALPOM-project)



The main goal of the project is to obtain the analyzing power for $pCH_2 \rightarrow pX$ reaction at large momenta for G_{Ep}/G_{Mp} experiment at JLAB. Also these data are necessary to develop the proton focal-plane polarimetry at hadronic facilities.

Neutron analyzing power measurement: which reaction is better?

Comparison of figure of merit

 $np \rightarrow np \ elastic \ scattering$ (zero exchange) $np \rightarrow pn$ (charge exchange)

$\mathcal{F}^2 = \int_{ heta} \; arepsilon(heta) \; A_y^2(heta) \; d heta$
$\epsilon(\theta) = \frac{N_{useful}(\theta)}{N_{incident}(\theta)}$
$\Delta P = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_{inc}\mathcal{F}^2}}$

Pole model for $np \rightarrow np$ (pn)

Neutron

Differential cross section

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{64\pi sq^2} (|T_{\pi}(u) + T_{\rho}(u)|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |T_{\pi}(t) + T_{\rho}(t)|^2 + |T_{P}(t)|^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{CE}(u)|^{2} &= \left(F_{u}\frac{uA_{\pi}}{u-m_{\pi}^{2}}F_{u}\right)^{2} + \left|F_{u}\frac{uA_{\rho}e^{i\varphi}}{u-m_{\rho}^{2}}F_{u}\right|^{2} + \\ & 2\left(F_{u}\frac{uA_{\pi}}{u-m_{\pi}^{2}}F_{u}\right)\left(F_{u}\frac{uA_{\rho}e^{-i\varphi}}{u-m_{\rho}^{2}}F_{u}\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{4}\left[\left(F_{t}\frac{tA_{\pi}}{t-m_{\pi}^{2}}F_{t}\right)^{2} + \left|F_{t}\frac{tA_{\rho}e^{i\varphi}}{t-m_{\rho}^{2}}F_{t}\right|^{2} + \\ & 2\left(F_{t}\frac{tA_{\pi}}{t-m_{\pi}^{2}}F_{t}\right)\left(F_{t}\frac{tA_{\rho}e^{-i\varphi}}{t-m_{\rho}^{2}}F_{t}\right)\right] + (A_{P}e^{-b|t|})^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Yu.A. Troyan, M.Kh. Anikina, A.V. Belyaev, A.P. Ierusalimov, and A.Yu. Troyan. Elastic np np(pn) scattering at intermediate energies. *Physics of Particles and Nuclei Letters*, 11(2):101–108, 2014.

Differential cross section



Maximum analyzing powers



 $np \rightarrow np \ elastic \ scattering$ (zero exchange) $np \rightarrow pn$ (charge exchange)

Figure of merit (100% efficiency)

From 1 to 5 GeV/c, ZE has larger Figure of merit due to its larger total cross section. After 5 GeV, as cross section becomes really small, figure of merit is dominated by analyzing power, then CE becomes bigger.



ALPOM2 @Nuclotron



Polarized neutrons and protons are obtained from the Nuclotron deuteron beam with momentum up to 13 GeV/c that undergoes a breakup reaction through a 8 cm thick Be target, installed about 100 m upstream of the polarimeter.



Online Beam monitor





It is known from previous measurement that the polarization is totally transferred to the proton and neutron from the breakup, even for momenta larger than half of the deuteron momentum.

ALPOM2 setup

(20 mm Fe +10 mm Sc) x 34 layers

(10 mm Sc+10 mm Pb) × 18 layers + (10 mm Sc +20 mm Fe) × 20 layers

20 mm Fe

(10 mm Sc +10 mm Pb) x 50 layers



Trigger for neutron beam:

active target, Sup, Sdown, and IC.

Trigger for proton beam:

S0, S1, Sup, Sdown.

Active target CH (CH2, Cu) For neutron (proton) Ay measurement

Hadron calorimeter with the scintillator uses moduli of different size and composition at different distance around the beam axis

Particle distribution (θ , φ)



Preliminary Results of November 2016

Extraction of Analyzing power



$$\frac{N^+}{N_0} = \frac{N_0^+}{N_0} (1 + |P^+|A\cos\phi),$$
$$\frac{N^-}{N_0} = \frac{N_0^-}{N_0} (1 - |P^-|A\cos\phi),$$

$$\Delta R = \frac{\sqrt{N^{\pm} \cdot (N^{\pm}/N_0 + 1)}}{N^{\pm}}.$$

Extraction of Analyzing power

$$\begin{split} \frac{N^+}{N_0} &= \frac{N_0^+}{N_0} (1+|P^+|A\cos\phi),\\ \frac{N^-}{N_0} &= \frac{N_0^-}{N_0} (1-|P^-|A\cos\phi), \end{split}$$

$$\Delta R = \frac{\sqrt{N^{\pm} \cdot (N^{\pm}/N_0 + 1)}}{N^{\pm}}.$$



Preliminary Results of November 2016

Analyzing power



$$R_1 = \frac{a-b}{c} = \frac{N^+ - N^-}{N_0} = A\cos\phi(|P^+| + |P^-|) \quad R_2 = \frac{a-b}{a+b} = \frac{N^+ - N^-}{N^+ + N^-} = \frac{A\cos\phi(|P^+| + |P^-|)}{2 + A\cos\phi(|P^+| - |P^-|)}$$

Comparison of analyzing powers from ALPOM2 and ALPOM



Summary Part II

- We have done the measurement of proton and neutron analyzing power at 3.0, 3.75, and 4.2 GeV/c

Proton: more precise results have been achieved

Neutron: the analyzing power on C, CH has been measured for the first time.

Next:

<u>Measurement for higher momenta up to 7.5 GeV/c proton and 5.3 GeV/c</u> <u>neutron has required in the proposal will be performed soon.</u>

Thank you!