# The spectrum of charmed mesons and structure of tetraquark candidates from lattice QCD

Joshua Berlin, Krzysztof Cichy, Martin Kalinowski, Marc Wagner

#### **Motivation**

- **Mesons** are bound states of quarks and antiquarks with integer spin J = 0, 1, 2, ...
- Most mesons are predominantly quark-antiquark states  $(\bar{q}q)$ .
- In principle mesons could also be composed of two quarks and two antiquarks ("tetraquarks", qqqq); in particular for mesons, which are theoretically not well understood, this might be the case.
- The **PANDA** experiment at **FAIR** will investigate many different mesons (different spin *J*, parity *P*, quark content *u*, *d*, *s*, *c*, including several tetraquark candidates), in particular *D* mesons, *D<sub>s</sub>* mesons and charmonium.

### Why tetraquarks? (example $D_{s0}^{*}(2317)$ )

- The masses of charmed scalar mesons  $D_{s0}^*$  and  $D_{s1}$  are below expectation of typical quark models and lattice computations, e.g. left column
- $D_{s0}^*(2317) D_0^*(2400)$  mass difference is far too small to account for the s-u/d quark mass difference of 150  $\pm$  30 MeV,
- $D_{s2}^*(2573)$  ist too light to be a radial excitation of the  $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ .
- Tetraquark interpretation:
  - explains states below the DK and  $D^*K$  thresholds naturally,
  - $D_{s2}^*(2573)$  may be a member of a scalar tetraquark multiplet.

#### Creation operators for $D_{s0}^*(2317)$

• The theoretical framework to discribe and understand mesons is **QCD**, the quantum field theory of quarks and gluons,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \sum_{q \in \{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{b}\}} \bar{q} \Big( \gamma_{\mu} \Big( \partial_{\mu} - iA_{\mu} \Big) + m^{(q)} \Big) q + \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \Big( F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \Big);$$

the numerical method to solve corresponding equations is lattice QCD.

# The spectrum of *D* mesons, $D_s$ mesons and charmonium using $\bar{q}q$ operators

• Computations at

- different values of the light u/d quark mass ( $m_{\pi} \approx 225...470$  MeV),
- different lattice discretizations,
- different values of the lattice spacing,

to extrapolate to the physical point and to eliminate systematic errors.



- For our study of  $D_{s0}^*(2317)$  we use several operators with identical quantum numbers, which are of rather different structure:
  - Quark-antiquark operator:
    - $\mathcal{O}^{q\bar{q}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \overline{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{x}} \right).$



- Mesonic molecule operators (both  $D\bar{K}$  and  $D_s\pi$ ):
  - $\mathcal{O}^{D\bar{K} \text{ molecule}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_5 \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{x}} \right) \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_5 \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \right)$  $\mathcal{O}^{D_s \pi \text{ molecule}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_5 \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \right) \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_5 \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{x}} \right).$



Diquark-antidiquark operator:

$$\mathcal{O}^{\mathsf{diquark}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \epsilon_{\mathsf{abc}} \left( \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{x},b} (C\gamma_5) \overline{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{x},c}^T \right) \epsilon_{\mathsf{ade}} \left( \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{x},d}^T (C\gamma_5) \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x},c} \right)$$



• Two-meson operators (both  $D + \overline{K}$  and  $D_s + \pi$ ):

 $\mathcal{O}^{D+\bar{K} \text{ 2-meson}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{x}} \right) \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\mathbf{y}} \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{y}} \right) \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\mathbf{y}} \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{y}} \right) \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\mathbf{y}} \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{y}} \right).$   $\mathcal{O}^{D_{s}+\pi \text{ 2-meson}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \right) \left( \overline{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\mathbf{y}} \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{y}} \right).$ 

• Such a variety of operators allows not only to determine the mass of a

For the majority of mesons agreement with experimental results.
Disagreement might indicate a non-qq state (perhaps a tetraquark, a strong gluonic contribution, an unstable resonance; cf. the right column).

meson, but also to obtain information about its internal structure.

### First numerical results for $D_{s0}^*(2317)$

• Varying operator bases ( $q\bar{q} \equiv 1$ ;  $D\bar{K}$ ,  $D_s\pi$  molecule  $\equiv 2, 3$ ;  $D + \bar{K}$ ,  $D_s + \pi$  2-meson  $\equiv 5, 6$ ; Diquark-antidiquark  $\equiv 4, 7$ ).



- A third low lying state  $\approx 2300$  MeV occurs (states colored in yellow), although a conventional  $q\bar{q}$  structure is not provided to the analysis.
- Employing a variety of different operators allowed to distinguish and to investigate e.g. the two  $J^P = 1^+ D$  meson states:
  - $D_1(2430)$ , light spin j = 1/2, J = 1 predominantly, due to quark spin (no strong angular momentum contribution).
  - $D_1(2420)$ , light spin j = 3/2, J = 1, due to quark spin and angular momentum.
- Important for a study of the decay  $B \rightarrow D^{**}$  (there is a persistent conflict between experiment and theory, "1/2 versus 3/2 puzzle").

M. Kalinowski and M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D 92, no. 9, 094508 (2015) [arXiv:1509.02396 [hep-lat]].

K. Cichy, M. Kalinowski and M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 9, 094503 (2016) [arXiv:1603.06467 [hep-lat]].

- If it is, it dominates the signal (states colored in violet), but competes with a non-trivial diquark-antidiquark structure
- This might suggests an internal structure beyond a conventional quark-antiquark pair
  - Future plans include varying pion masses, operators to resolve first momentum excitations, investigation of the states near thresholds, ...

A. Abdel-Rehim, C. Alexandrou, J. Berlin, M. Dalla Brida, J. Finkenrath and M. Wagner, arXiv:1701.07228 [hep-lat].

Helmholtz International Center











