





Chiara Nociforo

GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung Darmstadt - Germany

NUSPIN 2017 - GSI - 2017 June 26-29



- Introduction to the Super-FRS at FAIR: RIB production and separation method
- In-flight particle identification (PID) of relativistic heavy ions at Super-FRS: ToF requirements & developments
- In-beam tests of focal plane ToF detector prototypes:
  - diamond (pcCVD) & silicon material properties
  - electronics role
  - irradiation and damages

### The NUSTAR facility at FAIR



#### **Primary Beams**

- 5x10<sup>11</sup> <sup>238</sup>U<sup>28+</sup> (pulsed)
  3.5x10<sup>11</sup> <sup>238</sup>U<sup>28+</sup> (DC)
  @1.5 GeV/u
- factor **100** in intensity over present

#### Secondary Beams

- broad range of RIBs up to 1-2 GeV/u
- up to factor **10000** in intensity over present



## Super-FRS layout



## Super-FRS layout





# Requirements on A/q separation

Clean full isotope identification on event-by-event basis



## ToF detector requirements

- homogeneous and large-area material (total 70000 mm<sup>2</sup>)
- start/stop fast (triggering) signals
  - $\rightarrow$  ToF silicon/diamond strip detectors arranged in planar geometry
    - total channels (strips): 1400 chs
    - timing resolution (full):  $\sigma_t < 35 \text{ ps}, \sigma_t = 20 \text{ ps for U}$
    - rate capability: 0.5 kHz/mm<sup>2</sup>, < 15 kHz/strip</li>
    - activity: < 1 kGy/year</p>
    - FEE-sensor distance: > 550 mm
    - readout: FPGA, e-link interface
    - full remote control
    - timestamping



### Silicon time properties

#### <sup>197</sup>Au @750MeV/u



#### Si samples



165

5.343e-10

3.367e-11

 $\textbf{32.63} \pm \textbf{3.53}$ 

 $5.329e-10 \pm 2.416e-12$ 

1.4

Rise time, s

2.81e-11 ± 2.14e-12

### **Diamond time properties**

<sup>238</sup>U @350MeV/u

#### *pcCVD -DD* 10x10x0.2 1 mm<sup>3</sup>



- digital waveform sampled (20 GS/s scope)
- small charge collection Q=2.46pC



# ToF measurements at FRS

#### 2 x *pcCVD -DD* 20x20x0.3 mm<sup>3</sup>



- 16-strip design: (1x18) mm<sup>2</sup> each (0.15 mm gap), C = 4.3 pF/strip
- metallization: 50nm/100nm (Cr/Au) by photolithography (GSI-DL)
- PADI7 4x4chs



# **ToF** measurements at FRS

#### 2 x *pcCVD -DD* 20x20x0.3 mm<sup>3</sup>



•

- 16-strip design: (1x18) mm<sup>2</sup> each (0.15 mm gap), C = 4.3 pF/strip
- metallization: 50nm/100nm (Cr/Au) by photolithography (GSI-DL)

<sup>197</sup>Au@900MeV/u

PADI7 4x4chs



#### **S2-S4 Detector resolution**

contribution

$$\sigma_{\text{DD}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{ToF}}^2 - \sigma_j^2} = \sqrt{(45.1)^2 - (15)^2} = 42.5 \text{ ps}$$

Measured VFTX/PADI intrinsic time resolution: 15 ps ( $\sigma$ )

- VFTX (28 chs) VME FPGA TDC
  - LVDS inputs
  - 200 MHz clock (external & internal)
  - $\sigma_t < 10 \text{ ps}$

(https://www.gsi.de/fileadmin/EE/Module/Dokumente/vftx1\_8.pdf)

- PADI ASIC 180 nm CMOS
  - rise time < 500 ps
  - 30 fC <Q< 2000 fC
  - $\sigma_{tE}$  < 15 ps
  - LVDS digital outputs
  - 350 MHz bandwidth
  - gain 250 (M. Ciobanu et al., IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, vol.58, no. 4, p. 2073, Aug. 2011)

### Silicon in-beam tests

- detectors cooled (T= -20°C) and overbiased for good timing
- ToF between one strip and small pad measured by PADI6 + VFTX



Fig. 2. Current response of the pad detector at different bias voltages.



V. Eremin et al., NIM A 796 (2015) 158



### picoTDC Architecture





Calibration of SEM monitor vs scCVD-DD 3.23x3.23x0.16 mm<sup>3</sup> and pcCVD-DD 18x18x0.3 mm<sup>3</sup> tested with broadband current sensitive amplifiers (DBA (P. Moritz, GSI), PA-20 (M. Jastrzab, IFJ Cracow)



## Irradiation tests at LNS-INFN, 2016

Series of irradiation of 10<sup>7</sup> <sup>12</sup>C/mm<sup>2</sup> s followed by data taking via digital scope (10 GS/s) at low rate to monitor the time resolution and CCE of pcCVD-DD (10x10x0.3 mm<sup>3</sup>) and scCVD-DD (2x2x0.09 mm<sup>3</sup>)



 $E_{loss}$  ≈ 36 MeV (comparable to <sup>40</sup>Ar@2GeV/u) <sup>12</sup>C@62MeV/u



discrepancy due to pile-up in diamond signals

•



<sup>12</sup>C@62MeV/u

V = 300 V THR = -30 mV



• no degradation of the signal observed at the end of the irradiation





• no change in the measured ToF at the end of the irradiation

C. Nociforo et al., EPJ Web Conf. 117 (2016) 10007

## Digital waveform analysis (scCVD-DD)

<sup>12</sup>C@62MeV/u

#### V = 100 V Thr = -30 mV

Amplitude (mV)

Normalized Counts

#### Catania (Run 2) - scCVD, +100 V Catania (Run 2) - scCVD, +100 V 1400 2000 1200 PT01N (0hr) PT01N (0hr) PT18N (40hr) PT18N (40hr) 1000 Normalized Counts 1500 800 600 1000 400 500 200 0 0 -20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 0 600 0 200 400 800 1000 Slope (mV/100 ps)

x scale to be multiplied by 10 and dimensions written as mV/ns

• shift observed after about 12 hours of irradiation, kept constant until the end

## Damage effects (scCVD-DD)



• final amplitude distribution is well above threshold



To obtain a clean PID at Super-FRS:

**ToF** detectors with timing resolution  $\sigma_t \sim 20$  ps are required

 $\rightarrow$  in-beam tests of silicon and diamond strip detectors 0.3 mm thick (<sup>197</sup>Au@900 MeV/u) show that by using pico-seconds electronics the required time performance is achievable

 $\rightarrow$  irradiation test results (^12C@62MeV/u) indicate pcCVD diamonds as superior material for application in high radiation level environment.



J. Frühauf, C. Karagiannis, A. Kratz, M. Kiš, O. Kiselev, N. Kurz, F. Schirru, M. Träger, R. Visinka

GSI, Darmstadt, Germany

M. Ciobanu Horia Hulubei National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Magurele-Bucharest, Romania

> S. Schlemme TU Darmstadt, Germany

V. Eremin Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute, Russian Academy of Science, St. Petersburg, Russia

M. Jastrzab Henryk Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland