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## Outline

- Introduction
- Particles and units
- Relativity
- Charged particles in fields
- Magnets
- Charged particle dynamics
- Emittance and acceptance


## Experimenters wishes



- What are these fancy symbols here about: $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Ni}$ ?
- What do these funny numbers mean: $3.6 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}, 300-1000 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}, 1 \mathrm{e} 8 /$ spill ?
- What do our accelerators have to do with all this?


## Elements and Isotopes

- Elements
- atomic building blocks of matter
- characterized by atomic number Z
- number of protons in nucleus and electrons in neutral atom
- one-to-one correspondence to chemical symbol X
- Isotopes
- variants of the same element with different number N of neutrons
- identified by mass number $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{N}$
- same electron configuration, hence same chemical properties
- only few isotopes stable
- Notation


## AX

|  | Z | A | N | Abundance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ca}$ | 20 | 40 | 20 | $96.9 \%$ |
| ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}$ | 20 | 48 | 28 | $0.2 \%$ |

## Elements

## Periodensystem der Elemente


(Source: http://www.pctheory.uni-ulm.de/didactics/quantenchemie/html/PSE-F.html)
Ordering of elements according to atomic number $Z$ and electron configuration

## Isotopes



Source: http://moriond.in2p3.fr/radio/moriond-huyse.ppt
Ordering of elements according to proton number Z and neutron number N

## Ions

- Acceleration requires charged particles (we'll come to that...)
- Ions
- created by removing electrons from atoms (or molecules)
- characterized by their charge state Q
- notation for ions of atoms (i.e. isotopes)

|  | Z | Q | \#electrons |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}$ | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}^{10+}$ | 20 | 10 | 10 |

- notation for molecules similar
- examples: $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$
- creation of ions from neutral particles in ion sources
- increasing of charge state by stripping in gas or foils


## Ion Masses

- Acceleration of ions depends on mass of ion
- Need to know the masses of ions
- SI units impractical:
$-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}} \approx \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}} \approx 1.7 \cdot 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$
- Atomic mass units (AMU)
- definition of atomic mass unit:

$$
\mathrm{m}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right)=12 \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{u}
$$

|  | SI units | AMU | ME |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| u | $1.661 \cdot 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1 u | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $1.672 \cdot 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1.007 u | 0.007 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $1.675 \cdot 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1.009 u | 0.009 |
| $m\left({ }^{(12} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $1.993 \cdot 10^{-26} \mathrm{~kg}$ | 12 u | 0 |
| $m\left({ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}\right)$ | $7.965 \cdot 10^{-26} \mathrm{~kg}$ | 47.953 u | -0.047 |
| $m_{e}$ | $9.109 \cdot 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}$ | $5.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{u}$ | n.d. |

- for general isotopes we define a mass number $M$ :

$$
m\left({ }^{A} X\right)=M \cdot u \approx A \cdot u
$$

- small difference between $A$ and $M$ :
- mass excess $M E: M=A+M E \quad M E / M<0,1 \%$ (except e.g. $p, d)$ but this is the origin of nuclear power...
- missing electrons for ions: $m\left({ }^{A} X^{Q}\right)=\left(M-Q \cdot A_{e}\right) \cdot u \quad Z \cdot A_{e} / M<0,05 \%$
- significant for high precision exp. (e.g. mass measurements in storage rings)


## Ion Energies

- Experimentalists require ions with a certain kinetic energy
- usually specified as kinetic energy per atomic mass unit
- example: ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}^{73+}, \mathrm{E}=1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{N}_{\text {ions }}=10^{9}$ particles
- what's this mysterious 'GeV'?
- elementary charge: $e=1.602 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$ charge of proton and electron (up to sign)
- when pushed by a voltage of 1 V , a particle with charge e gains energy 1 eV
- in SI units: $1 \mathrm{eV}=1.602 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
- prefixes for saving digits: $1000 \mathrm{eV}=1 \mathrm{keV}, 1000 \mathrm{keV}=1 \mathrm{MeV}, 1000 \mathrm{MeV}=1 \mathrm{GeV}$
- what's the kinetic energy of the beam in the example?
- $A=238, M E=0.05 u, Q=73 \rightarrow M=238.01, m=M \cdot u$
- $\mathrm{E}_{\text {ion }}=\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{E}=238.01 \mathrm{GeV}$
- $E_{\text {beam }}=N_{\text {ions }}$. $\mathrm{E}_{\text {ion }}=10^{9} \cdot 238.01 \mathrm{GeV}=238.01 \cdot 10^{18} \mathrm{eV} \approx 40 \mathrm{~J}$
- kinetic energy of a walking man: $E=1 / 2 \cdot \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{v}^{2}=1 / 2 \cdot 80 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 1(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})^{2}=40 \mathrm{~J}$
- if deposited in 1 ml of water: heating by 10 degrees, dose 40000Gy


## Relativity: Mass and Energy

- Mass and energy are equivalent

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{mc}^{2}
$$

- What's the energy of a mass unit?
- speed of light (constant of nature):

$$
\mathrm{c}=299792458 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

- energy of 1 u :

- $u \cdot c^{2} \approx 1.661 \cdot 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} \cdot\left(2.998 \cdot 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2} \approx 1.4925 \cdot 10^{-8} \mathrm{~J}$
- $1 \mathrm{eV} \approx 1.602 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
- $u \cdot c^{2} \approx 931.6 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{eV}$
- precise value: $u \cdot c^{2}=931.494 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Expression of masses as energies in convenient units
- consider ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}^{73+}: \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{c}^{2}=\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{c}^{2}=238.01 \cdot \mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{c}^{2}=221.7 \mathrm{GeV}$
- also written as: $\mathrm{m}=221.7 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$


## Relativity: Energy

- Energy equivalent to mass is referred to as rest energy
- will use symbol $E_{0}$ from now on: $E_{0}=m c^{2}=M \cdot u c^{2}$
- use special symbol $E_{u}$ for amu: $E_{u}=u c^{2}$
- Kinetic energy of ion always proportional to mass
- write kinetic energy as: $\mathrm{E}_{\text {ion }}=\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{E}$
- $E$ is referred to as kinetic energy per nucleon (or per atomic mass unit)
- Total energy is the sum of rest and kinetic energy
- again proportional to mass:

$$
M \cdot E_{\text {tot }}=M \cdot\left(E+E_{u}\right)
$$

- Comparison of total energy to rest energy
- defines the relativistic gamma: $\gamma=E_{\text {tot }} / E_{u}=1+E / E_{u}$
- examples:
- injection into SIS18: $\mathrm{E}=11.4 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}=1+11.4 / 931.5=1.01$
- extraction from SIS18: $\mathrm{E}=1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}=1+1000 / 931.5=2.07$


## Relativity: Velocity

- Velocity expressed in units of the speed of light
- defines relativistic beta: $v=\beta \cdot c$
- Gamma and beta are related
- Lorentz factor: $\quad \gamma=1 / V\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)$
- can be inverted: $\beta=v\left(1-1 / \gamma^{2}\right)$
- Velocity bound by speed of light
- Look again at $\mathrm{Y}=1+\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$
- $E=0 \rightarrow \gamma=1 \rightarrow \beta=0$
- $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{->} \mathrm{\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}^{->\infty} \rightarrow \beta->1$
- range of beta: $0 \leq \beta<1$
- Ions never reach the speed of light

- but they can come quite close:
(e.g. p@LHC: $E=7 T e V, \gamma \approx 7500,1-\beta \approx 10^{-8}$ )


## Relativity: Momentum

- Momentum is an important kinematical quantity
- conserved if no forces act on the particle
- deflection in a magnet is proportional to momentum (we'll come to that...)
- Related to the other relativistic parameters
- proportional to mass: $\mathrm{p}_{\text {ion }}=\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{p}$
- non-linear relation to velocity: $p c=\gamma \cdot \beta \cdot E_{u}=\beta / v\left(1-\beta^{2}\right) \cdot E_{u}$
- non-linear relation to energy: $\quad \mathrm{pc}=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{E} \cdot\left(\mathrm{E}+2 \cdot \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)\right)$
- example: $\mathrm{E}=1 \mathrm{GeV} \rightarrow \mathrm{pc}=1692 \mathrm{GeV}$ or $\mathrm{p}=1692 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ bere wevévonited the / for comenenene..




## Relativity: Non-Relativistic Limit

- Newton's physics recovered for small energies
- Newton's relations for momentum:

$$
p=u \cdot v \quad p=v(2 \cdot u \cdot E)
$$

- check of velocity relation:
- let $\beta \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow p c=\beta / \sqrt{ }\left(1-\beta^{2}\right) \cdot E_{u}->\beta \cdot E_{u}=c \cdot u \cdot \beta c=c \cdot u \cdot v$
- check of energy relation:
- let $E \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow p c=\sqrt{ }\left(E \cdot\left(E+2 \cdot E_{u}\right)\right)->\sqrt{ }\left(2 \cdot E_{u} \cdot E\right)=c \sqrt{ }(2 \cdot u \cdot E)$

- How far does Newton's arm reach?
- depends on required precision
- consider relation between momentum and velocity
- define deviation from Newton's relation by:

$$
\beta /(\beta \gamma)=1-\varepsilon \text { with } 0<\varepsilon<1
$$

| $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 1.11111 | 0.436 | $103 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ |
| 0.01 | 1.01010 | 0.141 | $9.4 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ |
| 0.001 | 1.00100 | 0.045 | $932 \mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{u}$ |
| 0.0001 | 1.00010 | 0.014 | $93.2 \mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{u}$ |
| 0.00001 | 1.00001 | 0.004 | $9.32 \mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{u}$ |

- below this $\beta$, deviation from linearity smaller than $\varepsilon$ last line still corresponds to $1300 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}=1.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ !


## How Relativistic are GSI and FAIR?




- UNILAC ( $\beta<0.15$ ) and CRYRING ( $\beta<0.25$ ) close to non-relativistic
- SIS18 and SIS100 practically always relativistic
- SIS100 for protons at extraction pretty relativistic ( $\mathrm{y} \approx 30$ )
- For comparison: LHC @ $7 \mathrm{TeV} \rightarrow \mathrm{y} \approx 7500$ (now this is ultra-relativistic...)


## Lorentz Force and Magnetic Rigidity

- Lorentz force on charged particles in electromagnetic fields

$$
\underline{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{e}(\underline{E}+\underline{\mathrm{v}} \times \underline{\mathrm{B}})=\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dt}
$$

- general equation involving 3D vector quantities ( $\underline{( }, \underline{E}, \underline{v}, \underline{B}, \underline{p}$ )
- electric field $\underline{E}$ will accelerate particle if aligned with $\underline{v}$
- force by magnetic field $\underline{B}$ always perpendicular to $\underline{v}->$ no acceleration
- change of momentum per nucleon proportional to $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{M}$
- Particle in homogenous magnetic field B
- energy remains constant
- trajectory is circle with radius $\rho$ satisfying: $B \cdot \rho=M / Q \cdot p$
- fundamental relation for accelerator physics
- quantity Bp denoted magnetic rigidity
- the larger $\mathrm{B} \rho$, the harder it is to deflect the particle by a magnetic field
- for fixed p rigidity determined by mass-to-charge ratio M/Q


## Manetic Rigidity: Examples

| Ions |  | SIS18 Inj. | SIS18 Ext. | SIS100 Ext. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{E}=11.4 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{E}=1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{E}=1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u}$ |
| Ion | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{Bp}[\mathrm{Tm}]$ | $\mathrm{B} \boldsymbol{[ T m}]$ | $\mathrm{Bp}[\mathrm{Tm}]$ |
| ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{18+}$ | 2.22 | 1.08 | 12.5 | 16.6 |
| ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{10+}$ | 4.00 | 1.95 | n.a. | 30.0 |
| ${ }^{86} \mathrm{Kr}^{33+}$ | 2.61 | 1.27 | 14.7 | 19.5 |
| ${ }^{86} \mathrm{Kr}^{16+}$ | 5.38 | 2.62 | n.a. | 40.3 |
| ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}^{73+}$ | 3.26 | 1.59 | 18.4 | 24.4 |
| ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}^{28+}$ | 8.50 | 4.14 | n.a. | 63.7 |


| Protons | SIS18 Inj. <br> (UNILAC) | SIS18 Inj. <br> (p-Linac) | SIS18 Ext. | SIS100 Ext. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{E}=11.4 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{E}=70.0 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{E}=4 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{E}=29 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u}$ |
| M/Q | $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\rho}[\mathrm{Tm}]$ | $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\rho}[\mathrm{Tm}]$ | $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\rho}[\mathrm{Tm}]$ | $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\rho}[\mathrm{Tm}]$ |
| 1.007 | 0.49 | 1.26 | 16.3 | 100 |

## Deflection in Dipoles

- Dipole with a uniform field deflects a charged particle by an angle $\theta$
- $\theta$ depends on arc length $L$ and magnetic field $B$
- L depends on $\theta$ and radius $\rho$

$$
L=\theta \cdot \rho
$$

- Particle with magnetic rigidity $\mathrm{B} \rho$

$$
B \cdot L=\theta \cdot B \rho
$$

- Quantity B•L is referred to as integral magnetic field
- Deflection angle given by

$$
\theta=B \cdot L / B \rho
$$



- Macroscopic angles to bend transfer lines or create circular accelerators $\rightarrow$ bending magnets
- Microscopic angles to correct beam trajectory or closed orbit $\rightarrow$ steering magnets


## Dipoles as Separators

- Consider particles with fixed p but different $M$ and $Q$ in a dipole field $B$
- assume B•L same for all M, Q
- deflection angle given by

$$
\theta=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{p}
$$

- Charge separation
- assume same M, but different Q
- let only one angle $\theta$ pass and vary B, then $Q$ proportional to 1/B


Some separator magnets are rather large...

- Mass separation
- assume same Q, but different M
- let only one angle $\theta$ pass and vary B, then M proportional to $B$

...and one can build separators with more dipoles.


## Example: Spectrometer

Charge separation behind gas stripper


Mass (isotope) separation




## Dipole Magnets

- Homogeneous field desired
- At GSI and FAIR electromagnets with and iron core are used
- B field created in gap g between two parallel iron poles $N$ and $S$
- B field excited by current in coil with N windings
- B field can be calculated

$$
B=\mu_{0} \cdot N \cdot I / g
$$

$$
\mu_{0}=4 \pi \cdot 10^{-7} \mathrm{Tm} / \mathrm{A} \text { (constant of nature) }
$$

- Choice of coils
- Normal conducting (water cooled)
- e.g. SIS18, ESR, FRS
- Super-conducting (liquid He cooled)
- e.g. SIS100, Super-FRS


C core dipole
e.g. ESR, CRYRING

H core dipole
e.g. SIS18, SIS100

Window frame dipole

## Bending Magnets @ GSI and FAIR

| Machine | Type | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ <br> $[\mathrm{deg}]$ | $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ <br> $[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathbf{L}$ <br> $[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {max }}$ <br> $[\mathrm{T}]$ | $\mathbf{B L}_{\text {max }}$ <br> $[\mathrm{Tm}]$ | $\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text {max }}$ <br> $[\mathrm{Tm}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIS18 | H | 24 | 15 | 10.4 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 18.7 |
| ESR | C | 6 | 60 | 6.25 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| CRYRING | C | 12 | 30 | 1.20 | 0.63 | 1.2 | 0.75 | 1.44 |
| SIS100 | H | 108 | 3.33 | 52.6 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 100.0 |
| CR | H | 24 | 15 | 8.13 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 13.0 |
| HESR | H | 44 | 8.18 | 29.4 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 7.1 | 50.0 |

And a large variety of bending magnets in the transfer lines and at the experiments...

## Particle Motion in Dipole Magnets

- Can we build circular accelerators from dipoles only?
- Start two particles in same field
- same momentum, same position
- different angle
- Use particle A's trajectory as reference and measure deviation of B's trajectory along the circle
- particle B oscillates around particle A

- such oscillations characterize transverse motion in accelerators
- referred to as betatron oscillations
- more about that later... (see talk on 'Transverse Dynamics')



## Stable or Unstable Motion

- In the previous example, horizontal trajectories close on themselves
- could be repeated infinitely
- motion considered to be stable
- focusing effect of the dipole field
- What about the vertical motion?
- particle A at zero position and angle
- particle B with same position but small angle at start
- the dipole field has no influence on the vertical motion of both particles
- position of particle B grows without bounds over many turns
- motion considered to be unstable
- Need focusing in the vertical direction


## Quadrupole Magnets

- Quadrupole magnet has 4 poles symmetric about the center
- By symmetry the B field on the longitudinal axis is zero
- particle passes straight through the center with no deflection
- quadrupole magnet is straight
- B field depends linearly on position
- horizontal direction: $B_{y}=B^{\prime} \cdot x$
- vertical direction: $\quad B_{x}=-B^{\prime} \cdot y$
- B' referred to as field gradient
- Integral quadrupole strength

$$
k \cdot L=B^{\prime} \cdot L / B \rho
$$

- focusing power for particles with Bp
- analogous to angle of a dipole


## Effect of Quadrupole Magnets

Focusing quadrupole (QF)

- Forces on particles determined by arrangement of poles
- arrangement $\mathrm{SNS}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{N}}$
- focusing in horizontal plane
- defocusing in vertical plane
- denoted focusing quadrupole (QF)
- arrangement ${ }_{N}^{S N}$
- defocusing in horizontal plane
- focusing in vertical plane
- denoted defocusing quadrupole (QD)
- Forces linear in transverse position
- particles receive deflection proportional to their position
- similar to lenses in optics except that lenses (de)focus in both planes



## Focusing with Quadrupole Magnets

- Neither QF nor QD can focus in both x and y simultaneously, but...
- Combinations of QF and QD with overall focusing in x and y possible
- Idea:
- put QD in place where horizontal offsets are small
- put QF in place where vertical offsets are small
- then effect of QD on $x$ respectively QF on y should be small
- Different arrangements possible
- FODO: smallest field gradients
- Doublet: long free section
- Triplet: symmetric beams



## Transverse Phase Space

- Consider again focusing by QF in the horizontal plane
- parallel input trajectories
$\rightarrow$ particles characterized by position $x$
- at focal point, all particles have $x=0$
$\rightarrow$ particles characterized by angle $x^{\prime}$
- In general, position $x$ and angle $x^{\prime}$ needed to fully describe particle
- aggregated into vector $\binom{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}$
- can be depicted in 2D coordinate system called phase space
- convergent trajectories have negative $x^{\prime}$ for positive $x$ and vice versa
- opposite sign for divergent trajectories
- Vertical plane completely analoguos



## Quadrupole Effect Quantitatively

- Consider thin quadrupole at $\mathrm{s}=0$
- Input vector (just in front of quad)

$$
\binom{x}{x^{\prime}}_{\text {in }}=\binom{x_{1}}{0}
$$

- Output vector (just behind quad)
$\binom{x}{x^{\prime}}_{\text {out }}=\binom{x_{1}}{-x_{1} / f}$
- same position $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ (thin quad)
- angle of trajectory: $-\mathrm{x}_{1} / \mathrm{f} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \ll \mathrm{f}\right)$
- Vector downstream of quad at $s$


$$
\binom{x}{x^{\prime}}(s)=\binom{x}{x^{\prime}}_{\text {out }}+\binom{-x_{1} / f \cdot s}{0}
$$

- angle fixed in drift space
- position change linear in s


## Matrix Formalism

- Matrices and vectors
- mathematical structures with a very powerful calculus (linear algebra)
- easily implemented in computer programs
- very convenient for representing particle transport through accelerators with linear forces
- takes some time getting used to it...

Multiplication rules for matrices and vectors

Matrix $\cdot$ Vector $=$ Vector

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{x}{y}=\binom{a \cdot x+b \cdot y}{c \cdot x+d \cdot y}
$$

Matrix $\cdot$ Matrix $=$ Matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1} \\
c_{1} & d_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
c_{2} & d_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} a_{2}+b_{1} c_{2} & a_{1} b_{2}+b_{1} d_{2} \\
c_{1} a_{2}+d_{1} c_{2} & c_{1} b_{2}+d_{1} d_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Multiplication not commutative...

$$
M_{1} \cdot M_{2} \neq M_{2} \cdot M_{1}
$$

..but associative

$$
\left(M_{1} \cdot M_{2}\right) \cdot M_{3}=M_{1} \cdot\left(M_{2} \cdot M_{3}\right)
$$

## Quadrupoles and Drifts as Matrices

- Effect of thin quadrupole with focal length $f$ described by matrix
$\binom{x_{2}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ -1 / f & 1\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}}=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{1} / f}$
- first row: position $x$ is unchanged and does not depend on angle $x$ '
- second row: quad changes angle x' by amount proportional to position $x$
- Effect of drift space of length $L$

$$
\binom{x_{2}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{~L} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{\mathrm{x}_{1}}{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}{ }_{1}}=\binom{\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{x}_{1}{ }_{1}}{\mathrm{x}_{1}}
$$

- first row: position $x$ changes by amount proportional to angle $x^{\prime}$
- second row: angle unchanged
- Can be visualized in phase space



## FODO Focusing

- Alternating focusing and defocusing quadrupoles separated by drift spaces
- FODO cell can be repeated many times (periodic FODO channel)
- Dipole magnets installed between quadrupoles for curved accelerators
- approximately like drift spaces
- Efficient focusing structure regarding necessary fields for given aperture
- Periodic solution exists
- output beam same as input beam


Matrix formalism allows to split quads easily...

Matrix of FODO cell
$M=M_{Q F / 2} \cdot M_{D} \cdot M_{Q D} \cdot M_{D} \cdot M_{Q F / 2}$
$=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1-\frac{L^{2}}{2 t^{2}} & 2 L\left(1+\frac{L}{2 t}\right) \\ -\frac{L^{2}}{2 f^{2}}\left(1-\frac{L}{2 f}\right) & 1-\frac{L^{2}}{2 f^{2}}\end{array}\right)$
Just for the curious and brave...



## FODO Tracking: Trajectories



- Particle tracks obtained by tracking random sample of particles
- General properties of FODO structure visible, but where are the limits?


## FODO Tracking: Envelopes



- Shape of beam emerges when tracking lots of particles
- Envelope can actually be calculated from $L$ and $f(\rightarrow$ talk on transverse optics)


## FODO Focusing: Mechanical Analogue

- Ball rolling without friction in a gutter can't escape due to force of gravity
- Particles diverging from nominal orbit focused back by quadrupoles



## FODO Tracking: Cross section



- Cross sections reflect the change of beam size through FODO cell
- In real accelerator observable using scintillating screens
- not possible for beam in a circular accelerator
- typically not inside of quadrupoles, of course...


## FODO Tracking: Phase Space



- Important property of beam, but not directly observable in a real accelerator
- how would you measure the angle of a trajectory simultaneously with position?


## FODO Tracking: Beam Profiles



Horizontal beam profiles






Vertical beam profiles

- Projections of phase space onto position can be observed
- beam profiles measured by SEM-grids, MWPCs, BIFs, IPMs
- very important information for set-up of accelerators and beamlines


## FODO Tracking: Mismatch



- Important property of beam, but not directly observable in a real accelerator
- how would you measure the angle of a trajectory simultaneously with position?


## Emittance

- Oscillation amplitude of single particles normally conserved
- remember the frictionless gutter: ball will oscillate forever
- measure of transverse energy
- Transverse energy referred to as single particle emittance
- transverse energy created by random motion in the source
- conserved (at best) until final target
- measure of disorder of particles in the beam
- impossible to create truly parallel beam (would be completely ordered)



## Beam Size and Emittance

- Periodic solution of FODO cell characterized by ellipses
- aspect ratio defined by quadrupoles
- for upright ellipses, aspect ratio described by beta functions $\beta_{x / y}$
- area of ellipse written as

$$
A_{x / y}=\pi \cdot \varepsilon_{x / y}
$$

- emittance $\varepsilon_{x / y}$ measure for beam size

$$
r_{x / y}=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{x / y} \cdot \beta_{x / y}}
$$



- Emittance is constant in FODO cell
- small width implies large angle spread
- limits the beam spot size at a target

- impossible to create parallel beam

Skew ellipses $\rightarrow$ talk on Transverse Optics

## Emittance Change

- Sources of emittance growth
- scattering in stripping foil or targets
- ellipse mismatch
- non-linear fields
- Ways to shrink emittance
- acceleration shrinks emittance according to $\varepsilon \sim 1 / p \sim 1 / B p$ (adiabatic damping)
- electron cooling (SIS, ESR) and stochastic cooling (ESR) available to create very small emittances
- Unnecessary emittance growth can limit performance
- beam losses due to beam size growth
- larger beam spot size at targets


## Emittance and Acceptance

- Emittance:
area of phase space ellipse containing a fraction of particles (e.g. 95\%)
- may grow due to mismatch, non-linear fields, beam interaction with pipe, etc.
- does not take into account deviations of beam center from ideal orbit
- Acceptance: maximum area of the ellipse possible without ever losing particles (by hitting beam pipe)

- acceptance in general smaller than physical aperture of beam pipe
- need some margin for deviations of beam center and emittance growth


## Non-Linear Magnets: Sextupoles

- Magnet with six poles
- Field depends quadratic on position
- forces on particles non-linear
- in general no analytic solutions
- can even lead to chaotic motion
- Frequently used in accelerators
- correction of momentum dependent effects on betatron oscillations (e.g. SIS18, ESR, FRS)
- essential for slow extraction (SIS18)
- compensation of unavoidable field errors in main magnets (dipoles)


Particle motion during slow extraction (SIS18)


## Non-Linear Magnets: Octupoles



- Octupoles for SHIP beamline
- deformation of ellipse by non-linear field of octupoles
- uniform distribution in cross section
- higher beam intensity at equal maximum particle density on target



## Summary

- Definition of elements and isotopes
- Special relativity and electro-dynamics
- masses, energies and mass-energy relation
- Relativistic particle motion and non-relativistic limit
- Lorentz force and magnetic rigidity
- Motion of charged particles in magnetic fields
- dipoles as bending magnets and separators
- quadrupoles as focusing magnets
- non-linear magnets for more sophisticated purposes
- Particle tracking and transverse phase space
- vectors for description of particles and matrix formalism
- FODO focusing structure
- emittance and acceptance


## Thank you for your attention!

These slides contain material I found in talks of the following colleagues: W. Bayer and M. Maier (GSI), R. Steerenberg (CERN), K. Peach (Oxford University) I'm very grateful for the possibility of profiting from their excellent material.

